LCAP Year	2017–18	2018–19	2019–20
-----------	----------------	---------	---------

Local Control Accountability Plan and Annual Update (LCAP) Template

Addendum: General instructions & regulatory requirements.

Appendix A: Priorities 5 and 6 Rate Calculations

Appendix B: Guiding Questions: Use as prompts (not limits)

LCFF Evaluation Rubrics [Note: this text will be hyperlinked to the LCFF Evaluation Rubric web page when it becomes available.]: Essential data to support completion of this LCAP. Please analyze the LEA's full data set; specific links to the rubrics are also provided within the template.

Contact
Name and Title

Rocketship Los Suenos

Email and phone

ilavi@rsed.org
408-684-4028

2017-20 Plan Summary

THE STORY

Briefly describe the students and community and how the LEA serves them.

Rocketship Los Suenos opened in August 2010, the third Rocketship campus to open in San Jose. The campus serves K-5 students.

Rocketship Los Suenos operates a highly **personalized educational** model to serve the unique needs of our students. At Rocketship, personalized learning is about reaching each student with the right content at the right time using the right method of instruction. Our teachers lead the learning process for every single student we serve in both whole group grade level instruction and differentiated instruction that meets students at their individual level. We use tutors and adaptive online learning programs to augment teacher led instruction and provide valuable time for students to practice new concepts and develop the mastery they need to advance. Given the majority FRL and EL population, Rocketship Los Suenos' instructional program is built around ELD principles and recognizes incoming students may be several grade levels behind. As a result, all teachers are trained in Guided Language Acquisition Design, small group instruction and differentiation to meet the needs of all students in their classrooms.

Rocketship Los Suenos also operated under the principles that **excellent teachers and leaders** create transformational schools and **engaged parents** are essential in eliminating the achievement gap. To these ends, Rocketship invests deeply in training and development to ensure teachers and leaders have a profound impact on students and communities and Rocketship parents are actively engaged in our schools, from reading in the classroom to chaperoning field trips. We also develop parent leaders in our schools and communities to become powerful advocates for their children. More details regarding how we implement personalized learning, teacher development and parent engagement follow.

Rocketship Los Suenos Fast Facts:					
Enrollment	498				
FRL Population 88.60%					
EL Population 55.40%					
Special Education Population 6.60%					

Population by Ethnicity:

Asian: 6.6%

African-American: 1.80%

Hispanic: 88.0% White: 0.2% Other: 3.4%

Core Values: All Rocketship campuses share four core values-- respect, responsibility, empathy and persistence—and develop a fifth core value as a community. At RLS this fifth core value is community. At Rocketship Los Suenos, our students and their families are committed to making healthy choices for their bodies and minds. We supplement our students' learning by exposing them to concepts of food system sustainability, various forms of physical exercise, growing plants, and visiting the nearby community garden.

LCAP HIGHLIGHTS

Identify and briefly summarize the key features of this year's LCAP.

Rocketship Los Suenos had many highlights this year, including facilities improvements, increased teacher retention and higher student academic achievement. However, Los Suenos also saw a marked increase in suspensions and decrease in math achievement. Both of these issues are addresses in the LCAP. There are no performance gaps at Los Suenos on the new Dashboards.

The biggest changes in this year's LCAP are better aligning in-school tutoring with our after school YMCA program, aligning better with parents regarding the suspension policy and implementing new social-emotional curriculum with the help of Seneca Services. See Action Items 3 and 4 under Goal 5 below.

Because the Governor's May Revise was less conservative than we initially thought, Principal Lavi will have \$30,000 discretionary budget to use in a manner that will best service her students toward reaching the goals below. Principal Lavi and Rocketship staff will review the LCAP and consult with stakeholders to identify an area that would best serve their unduplicated students. See Goal 4.

REVIEW OF PERFORMANCE

Based on a review of performance on the state indicators and local performance indicators included in the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, progress toward LCAP goals, local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what progress is the LEA most proud of and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success? This may include identifying any specific examples of how past increases or improvements in services for low-income students, English learners, and foster youth have led to improved performance for these students.

GREATEST PROGRESS

RLS made significant progress this year across the board. The school's greatest progresses, as noted by the SSC are:

- Facilities improvements, such as dimmers, speed bumps, lunch crates
- Teacher retention and development
- Improvement in student achievement data
- OLP integration in the classroom
- Support staff being a safe, friendly presence during arrival and dismissal.

This has resulted in greater student feelings on safety (increase from 57% to 77%) as well as an increase in EL progress and ELA SBAC scores, as indicated by the school's dashboard.

Referring to the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, identify any state indicator or local performance indicator for which overall performance was in the "Red" or "Orange" performance category or where the LEA received a "Not Met" or "Not Met for Two or More Years" rating. Additionally, identify any areas that the LEA has determined need significant improvement based on review of local performance indicators or other local indicators. What steps is the LEA planning to take to address these areas with the greatest need for improvement?

In using the Dashboard, RLS's greatest needs are suspension rates and mathematics results. There was also a large decline in parent satisfaction from 86% to 73%.

The following steps will be taken next year:

GREATEST

NEEDS

- Improve writing instruction through more targeted PD
- Work with YMCA to improve culture and academics/rigor of programming and homework help
- Differentiated homework packets and instruction
- Push students that are on grade-level and teach to highest level
- Have a community meeting in which parents read the parent handbook and know the suspension/expulsion and behavior policies. Also share attendance/truancy policies.

Referring to the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, identify any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the "all student" performance. What steps is the LEA planning to take to address these performance gaps?

PERFORMANCE
GAPS

INCREASED OR IMPROVED SERVICES

If not previously addressed, identify the two to three most significant ways that the LEA will increase or improve services for low-income students, English learners, and foster youth.

The three most significant ways that Rocketship Los Suenos improves services for our unduplicated pupils are through our **Personalized Learning** Program, our intensive **teacher professional development** and coaching, and our commitment to involving parents in their student's education. Personalized learning is about reaching each student with the right content at the right time using the right method of instruction. Our teachers lead the learning process for every single student we serve in both whole group grade level instruction and differentiated instruction that meets students at their individual level. We use tutors and adaptive online learning programs to augment teacher led instruction and provide valuable time for students to practice new concepts and develop the mastery they need to advance. This is especially helpful for our unduplicated students who may have very different needs from their peer students. Every week, all teachers receive at least four hours of support outside the classroom, are observed by Assistant Principals at least twice, receive at least two hours of professional development, and have a one-on-one meeting with their coaches. This helps our teachers better understand how to meet the needs of their students, particularly EL, Foster Youth and low-income students. Finally, Rocketship parents are actively engaged in our schools, from reading in the classroom to chaperoning field trips. We also develop parent leaders in our schools and communities to become powerful advocates for their children. These are opportunities that parents of EL and low income students are not always afforded, and research shows that students with involved parents do better in school.

BUDGET SUMMARY

Complete the table below. LEAs may include additional information or more detail, including graphics.

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Total General Fund Budget Expenditures for LCAP Year	\$6,312,907
Total Funds Budgeted for Planned Actions/Services to Meet the Goals in the LCAP for LCAP Year	\$1,782,623

The LCAP is intended to be a comprehensive planning tool but may not describe all General Fund Budget Expenditures. Briefly describe any of the General Fund Budget Expenditures specified above for the LCAP year not included in the LCAP.

Facility lease expense, management fee, authorizer fee, general operating services (i.e. telecommunications, utilities), administrative costs (i.e. audit, software), food service, teacher base compensation, school leader base compensation

\$ 4,824,782 Total Projected LCFF Revenues for LCAP Year

Annual Update

LCAP Year Reviewed: 2016–17

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's goals from the prior year LCAP. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal
1

Improve Rocketeers' proficiency in key content areas, overall and for key subgroups

State and/or Local Priorities Addressed by this goal:

STATE	□ 1	⊠ 2	□3	⊠ 4	□ 5	□ 6	□ 7	⊠ 8
COE	□ 9	□ 10)					
LOCAL								

ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

EXPECTED ACTUAL

- 1. Reclassification rate: Increase from 5.6% to 6.6%
- 2. Progress on CELDT: Increase from 60% to 62%
- 3. CAASPP Proficiency Rates

	Y1 - 2016-17			
	ELA M S			
CAASPP Overall	28	41	19	
CAASPP EL	18	31	16	
CAASPP SPED	2	13	2	
CAASPP SED	27	42	18	

Data not yet available

ACTIONS / SERVICES

Duplicate the Actions/Services from the prior year LCAP and complete a copy of the following table for each. Duplicate the table as needed.

Action

Actions/Services

Expenditures

PLANNED

Common Core-aligned instruction & materials

A-1. The Rocketship Los Suenos curriculum follows the California adoption of the Common Core State Standards ("CCSS") for the subject areas of: English/Language Arts (includes Writing), and Mathematics, as well as the state standards for Social Studies, Art and Music and the Next Generation Science Standards. Rocketship has established ELA and Math focus standards – the most rigorous CCSS at each grade level – as the most important markers of success in order to prioritize the focus of instruction while also ensuring that all grade-level standards are addressed in every course. Rocketship Los Suenos operates an inclusion model and therefore this core curriculum will benefit all students including Special Education students. Rocketship teachers will use the ELL framework to embed analytical tasks, receptive tasks and productive language functions into the curriculum to aid language acquisition.

Rocketship Los Suenos utilizes a Balanced Literacy approach for ELA instruction with a significant focus on Guided Reading. Expanding the breadth and depth of our classroom libraries will ensure that all students have access to a wide variety of texts to meet the different genre requirements in Common Core, as well as ensuring that all students have access to books at their appropriate reading level. For EL students, this can be particularly motivating and a useful strategy to engage reluctant or struggling readers. These expanded libraries will also enable us to loan out books for students to take home, so that parents can support reading and language acquisition efforts at home.

ACTUAL

RLS used Core Curriculum budget to bolster math curriculum materials, socio-emotional Kimochi materials, and literacy resources. These benefit all students, including EL and special education because of the inclusion model run in our schools. Additionally, we are aligning our curriculum with NGSS and encompass the three Disciplinary Core Ideas of the NGSS, physical sciences, life sciences, and earth science. We also administer NGSS-aligned unit assessments.

We integrate science instruction throughout various mediums so that skills that can be applied to subject matter at any time (i.e. recording observations, reading maps, using timelines). By teaching these core subjects in various modalities, we're helping all students, including special education and English Learners master the concepts via the method they respond to best. RLS has Gardening as an Enrichment Class, where students are able to see their science skills applied to real life situations.

Social Studies is also integrated into humanities blocks. All students are exposed to social studies concepts, particularly by working to master non-fiction texts.

BUDGETED

\$24,000 (4100) Core Curriculum LCFF-base

\$19,900 (4210) Books

LCFF-S+C

ESTIMATED ACTUAL

\$13,037 (4100) Core Curriculum LCFF-base

\$24,051 (4210) Books LCFF- S+C

Page 6 of Error! Bookmark not defined.

Action 2

PLANNED

Personalized Learning

A-2. RLS's key instructional practices include personalization, blended learning, data-driven instruction, Response to Intervention and teacher specialization. The specific investments for Rtl include Rtl curriculum and tutors. Our objective every day is to get the right lesson to the right child at the right time. We assume that every child, especially the children in the neighborhoods we serve, will have unique learning needs that must be addressed individually. The Rocketship model combines traditional classroom instruction with blended learning, which enables online learning programs technology, small group instruction and tutoring. All students, including our Special Education students, access and benefit from this instructional model as Rocketship Los Suenos operates an inclusion model. In particular, our Special Education students benefits from our Rtl model in which they receive additional Tier II and Tier III tutoring from the general education, special education, Learning Lab and paraprofessional staff. This personalized instruction occurs in the learning lab, making investments in Learning Lab Materials and Leveled Libraries important so that each student has the materials to receive instruction at his or her level. In addition, our adaptive Online Learning Programs (OLPs) are able to adapt to each student's level, ensuring that all aspects of our instructional program are appropriately differentiated for our Special Education students.

We invest in **Chromebooks** and invest budget each year to maintaining a 5:2, student to Chromebook ratio.

Additionally, we invest in technology consultants to ensure that our Chromebooks and OLPs are working smoothly, Rocketship invests in **technology support consultants**..

ACTUAL

Personalized Learning is one area that is continually improving and evolving at RLS. This year we made strides toward further integrating technology into the classroom, which allows our teachers more time to work in small group. This benefits our ELs and Special Education students that benefit the most from 1:1 and small group instruction.

We continued to refine our approach to OLPs this year, by working with targeted, differentiated lessons in Dreambox and created curated texts sets that could be assigned based on STEP level with MyON.

Actions/Services

BUDGETED ESTIMATED ACTUAL OLPs: \$33,535 (4411) LCFF-S+C **Learning Labs** OLPs: \$39,100 (4411) LCFF-S+C Chromebooks: \$26,027 (4421) LCFF-S+C Chromebooks: \$28,700 (4421) LCFF-S+C Learning Lab Materials \$1,869 (4390) LCFF-base Learning Lab Materials \$3,000 (4390) LCFF-base Leveled Libraries \$18,298 (4115) LCFF-base Leveled Libraries \$3,000 (4115) LCFF-base Rtl Curriculum \$3,360 (4120) Rtl Curriculum \$1,800 (4120) Tutors \$245,190 (2101) Tutors \$193,300 (2101) Title I Title I **Technology Consultants Technology Consultants** \$24,299 (5807) LCFF base \$29,700 (5807)

Action 3

Expenditures

PLANNED

LCFF base

Special Education supports

A-3. Although RLS runs an inclusion model, we realize that our special education students may require additional supports to achieve academically and in non-cognitive functions. These additional supports include additional assessments, such as psycho-educational assessments, speech-language assessments and occupational therapy assessments; additional staffing supports, such as adaptive PE instructors, physical therapists, mental health supports and assistive technology specialists; additional materials, including instructional supplies for speech lessons, counseling materials for school psychologists and occupational therapy materials; and adaptive technology.

ACTUAL

Our ISE team supported our Special Education student through each of the methods listed to the left.

Actions/Services

BUDGETED

\$6,600

(ISE 4360, ISE 4330, ISE 4340, ISE 4421)

ESTIMATED ACTUAL

\$1,807

(ISE 4360, ISE 4330, ISE 4340, ISE 4421)

Expenditures

Page 8 of Error! Bookmark not defined.

State Special Education funding IDEA

State Special Education funding

IDEA

Contracted Services \$5,500 (ISE 5802) State Special Education funding Contracted Services \$44,154 (ISE 5802)

State Special Education funding

Action

PI ANNED

Class size reductions

A-4. Students receive personalized instruction through targeted small group instruction and effective whole group instruction led by highly qualified teachers. In order to deepen the impact of our teachers and further personalize instruction, we will be maintaining class size reductions originally initiated in the 2014-15 school year. This class size reduction enables teachers to pull even smaller groups for small group instruction. The reduction will also be particularly beneficial for our Special Education and English Learner populations who will have more frequent access small group instruction and will learn in even smaller, more targeted group settings. RLS accomplishes this by not back-filling empty seats in grades 4-5 from natural attrition, forgoing additional per pupil funding.

ACTUAL

In 2014-15, RLS reduced class sizes by an average of 2 students per class by admitting fewer new students and by refraining from backfilling departures in the upper grades. Over the past two years, we've been committed to keeping our class sizes at an average of 28:1 instead of 30:1. This allows us to afford each of our students more personalized attention, which benefits all students but particularly ELs and Special Education students. Class size at RLS averaged 23 students.

Actions/Services

Expenditures

BUDGETED

Class size reductions \$191,201 (1101)

LCFF-S+C

ESTIMATED ACTUAL

Class size reductions \$415,596 (1101)

LCFF-S+C

Action 5

Actions/Services

PLANNED

GLAD Training

A-5. Our goal is to help our EL students make rapid

ACTUAL

RLS continues to partner with Project GLAD to ensure all teachers are trained on the GLAD strategies for ELD instruction and are familiar

Page 9 of Error! Bookmark not defined.

progress out of levels 1 and 2 and into levels 3 and higher on the CELDT Assessment. We believe that the most effective instructional approach for a school with a high EL population is to embed ELD principles in all aspects of the curriculum and to teach explicit ELD during a portion of the day. To embed ELD principles across all subjects, we work with **Project GLAD** (Guided Language Acquisition Design) to teach our teachers methods to provide additional instructional support to EL students. Our explicit ELD will focus on developing oral language, grammatical constructs and academic vocabulary in English. This period will take place during the Humanities block when EL students may be leveled by English fluency and provided with explicit ELD instruction. In the Rtl tutoring program, ELs who are not making Significant Gains may receive Literacy instruction as well as ELD as appropriate. Special Education students who are also ELs may have a particularly challenging time acquiring English language. In these cases, we provide Tier II and Tier III tutoring in small group or 1:1 settings. Additionally, we also provide ongoing professional development to our literacy teachers to help them with EL instruction throughout the school year.

with the new ELD framework developed by the CDE. All new teachers receive GLAD training at the beginning of the year, with returning teachers getting a refresher course. GLAD strategies are imbedded in all of our instruction, including STEM, so that students are practicing English skills in all areas of the school day.

We have ongoing PD sessions throughout the year to help Literacy teachers master GLAD and other ELS strategies.

Expenditures

(BUDGETED GLAD Training \$16,600 (5804) Fitle III	GLAD Training \$1,200 (5804) Title III
;	Ongoing literacy teacher PD (1101)	Ongoing literacy teacher PD \$33,226 (1101)
	Title III	Title III

ANALYSIS

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's goals from the prior year LCAP. Duplicate the table as needed.

Use actual annual measurable outcome data, including performance data from the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable.

Describe the overall implementation of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal.

Each of the action items for this goal is embedded into our Rocketship model, giving these action items the support of school teams and the network support team to help guide high-level thinking, with support and ongoing professional development throughout the school year to ensure its being implemented with fidelity.

Describe the overall effectiveness of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the LEA.

While SBAC and other state testing data is not yet available, Rocketship uses a cadre of internal assessments to track student progress throughout the year. Students are RLS are on track for 1.31 years of growth in math and 1.27 years of growth in reading. This is higher than last year at 1.27 and 1.10 in math and reading, respectively.

Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

RLS invested heavily in new books and classroom libraries this year so had to make cuts in other curriculum areas to be able to afford this. RLS prioritized having more reading materials to make kids excited about reading, especially for our EL and SED children that might not have access to leveled texts at home. We saw large increases in ELA SBAC scores last year (exceeding standards by 5 points), with increases for our EL and SED students, and hope that these investments will yield similar results next year. Our EL progress was also high and had increased.

RLS also increased the tutor budget to accommodate more tutors for our students. This was done to help increase academic proficiency, particularly among unduplicated students.

Our GLAD expenditures were significantly below budget because we only had one new-to-Rocketship teacher who needed to attend GLAD training.

Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions and services to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the LCAP.

Next year we will be pushing greater for greater OLP integration in the classroom. See Action Items Goal 2 below. We will be adding in budget for printing and reproduction so teachers can make copies of important text and materials.

Next year, we will be removing Class Size Reduction as an action item, not because we plan on allowing our class sizes to grow, but because this has become the new norm at our schools.

Goal

Rocketeers will have access to Common Core standards aligned curriculum across a broad array of content areas taught by appropriately assigned, highly qualified teachers

State and/or Local Priorities Addressed by this goal:

STATE	⊠ 1	⊠ 2	□ 3	⊠ 4	□ 5	□ 6	□ 7	□ 8	
COE	□ 9	□ 10)						
LOCAL									

ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

EXPECTED ACTUAL

- 1. School provides standards-aligned instructional materials with focus on nonfiction and vocabulary study in social studies
- 2. School provides standards-aligned professional development with focus nonfiction and vocabulary study in social studies
- 3. 100% of full-time teachers have appropriate credentials

- 1. Met
- 2. Met
- 3. Not Met 76%

Action

PLANNED

Professional Development

B-1. Summer PD

Each summer, RLS hosts an intensive three week summer training for all teachers that emphasizes foundational knowledge in culture and classroom. We provide training in classroom management and effective planning, including daily lessons, units, and yearlong plans. We also introduce foundational components of the Rocketship program, including the use of data, instructional techniques, and the scope and sequence of curricula. Sessions are differentiated by subject and grade and focus on skillbuilding to maximize teacher time. Thursday PD

ACTUAL

As indicated last year, Professional Development at RLS is a significant part of our plan to ensure our teachers have the skills necessary to be most effective for our students. One initiative for this year is to include more differentiated instruction for our teachers. This benefits our new teachers and our returning teachers by helping them build the skills that will be most helpful in their classrooms.

Some examples of Summer PD sessions include: Home Visits Assessment Suite Overview Systems and Routines Positive Framing

Actions/Services

Rocketship schools dedicate at least 200 hours throughout the school year for staff PD. We dismiss students two and a half hours early one day a week to allow for an afternoon of purposeful and customized PD and culture building for staff. The Principals and Assistant Principals at each school facilitate and organize sessions at each school, targeting the areas of development they see as most beneficial to the staff, personalizing supports for teachers. *Professional Development Fund*

Rocketship Los Suenos has a number of veteran Rocketship teachers who express a strong desire to continue honing their craft and developing as professionals. RLS will establish a professional development fund to reward high-performing teachers with additional learning opportunities and to incentivize experienced teachers to continue working at Rocketship where their development is made a priority. With eligible topics including Spanish language study, teaching in an inclusion model, and the Teachers College Reading And Writing Institute, students are sure to benefit from this additional training their teachers will have received.

Some example of Thursday PD include:

Non-Fiction Deep Dive Parent Conference Prep

Behavior Plan

Exhibition Night Planning

Some examples of PD fund activities RLS teachers took advantage of this year include:

Language Immersion

Targeted special ed inclusion strategies

Expenditures

Action

Actions/Services

BUDGETED

Summer: \$97,834 SL and Teacher compensation (1301,

1101) *LCFF-S+C*

Thursday: \$43,493

SL and Teacher compensation (1301, 1101)

LCFF-S+C

PD Fund \$25,000 (5804) *LCFF-S+C*

ESTIMATED ACTUAL

Summer: \$88,223 SL and Teacher compensation (1301, 1101)

LCFF-S+C

Thursday: \$53,443

SL and Teacher compensation (1301, 1101)

LCFF-S+C

PD Fund \$555 (5804) *LCFF-S+C*

PLANNED

Assessments

B-2. Students will take a variety of internal and external assessments to determine progress and areas of weakness. Assessments include:

- Four rounds of cumulative assessments
- NWEA three times per year

ACTUAL

In compliance with state law, RLS students took all of the required state assessments. Additionally, as mentioned above, RLS has a cadre of internal assessments, and we did in fact administer four rounds of cumulative assessments, three rounds of NWEA testing and four round of STEP testing. This helps us identify areas of weakness and growth for our students and

Page 13 of Error! Bookmark not defined.

•	STEP at least four times per year
•	State-mandated CAASPP

To ensure that our students are ready for success on the CAASPP, Rocketship Los Suenos transitioned to computer based, Common Core aligned benchmark assessments. Consistent with our model of data driven instruction, the results of these benchmarks will be used to adjust instruction to ensure that all students are moving towards mastery of the Common Core standards.

better target our instruction toward them.

RLS will hire **temporary staff** members to facilitate administration and scoring of assessments

BUDGETED

Assessments \$27,450

(4414) LCFF-S+C

Temps \$16,200 (5838) LCFF-S+C

ESTIMATED ACTUAL

Assessments \$19,202

(4414) LCFF-S+C

Temps \$25,313 (5838) LCFF-S+C

Expenditures

Action

PLANNED

Data Days

B-3. Following administration of these bi-monthly interim assessments, the teachers, Assistant Principal, and Principal at RLS will have a full day analyzing interim assessment data. As a key component of these data days, a teacher identifies overall positive trends of the entire class and challenges as well. Learning how to better utilize data enables teachers to improve instructional practices and better serve all students.

ACTUAL

All of the data we collect from student assessments is not helpful if our teachers and school leaders don't know how to use it! As a result, we have three data days per year. During data days, School Leaders and NeST staff helps teachers analyze their students' data to identify areas of individual weakness and common trends. From there, teachers revise lesson plans to target the areas of weakness.

Actions/Services

Expenditures

BUDGETED

\$37,835 Teacher and SL compensation (1101, 1301) *LCFF-S+C* **ESTIMATED ACTUAL**

\$33,932 Teacher and SL compensation (1101, 1301) *LCFF-S+C* Action

PLANNED

Coaching

B-4. The foundational piece of our ongoing staff development is customized, targeted one-on-one coaching provided by Assistant Principals or Principals to teachers. RLS teachers receive ongoing coaching and support from the Assistant Principal or Principal responsible for their grade level. This typically includes about three hours per week of support for our newest teachers or others who need extra support. This helps teachers better instruct all students, including ELs and Special Education students.

ACTUAL

Coaching is a fundamental piece of Rocketship's instructional model, and, as such, is the main activity for Assistant Principals and Principals. Each School Leader is paired with a teacher, and they observe in class and offer feedback both in real time and during weekly 1:1 coaching sessions. This continues to be a cornerstone of Rocketship's teacher development.

Actions/Services

BUDGETED

Coaching \$69,000

AP compensation (1301) LCFF-S+C

ESTIMATED ACTUAL

Coaching \$73,847

AP compensation (1301) LCFF-S+C

Action

Expenditures

5

PLANNED

Teacher Credentialing

B-5. 100% of core teachers will be appropriately assigned and hold a valid CA Teaching Credential with appropriate English learner authorization as defined by the CA Commission on Teaching Credentialing. All core teacher candidates screened for employment will hold valid CA Teaching Credential with appropriate English learner authorization; RSED Human Resources will annually review assignment and credential status. RLS partners with the Reach Institute for credentialing teachers.

ACTUAL

With our growth and the lack of Teacher talent, we've hired more and more teachers who had credentialing needs (due to them coming from out of state and/or being new to teaching and needing to obtain a credential). Only 76% of our teachers were credentialed. In August of 2016, we established a new credentialing program to help ensure that our teachers are appropriately assigned and qualified. We revised the Credentialing Memo sent with Teacher Offer Packages to clearly outline requirements and expectations. We also held individual calls with hired teachers to go over their next steps. We've also increased our support to new teachers by having a dedicated Talent Manager work with teachers in need of credentialing, as well as rolled out a new HRIS system.

Actions/Services

Expenditures

BUDGETED Credentialing \$24,100 (5833)

Title II

ESTIMATED ACTUAL
Credentialing

\$26,000 (5833) Title II

ANALYSIS

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's goals from the prior year LCAP. Duplicate the table as needed.

Use actual annual measurable outcome data, including performance data from the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable.

Describe the overall implementation of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal.

Rocketship deeply values our teacher development, and the action items related to this goal demonstrate the seriousness of which we approach ensuring that our students are being taught by high-level instructors. We've worked on several new initiatives to ensure that we meet each of these goals, most notable credentialing for this year. As explained above, we've implemented new programs this year to ensure that our teachers understand the requirements for credentialing.

Our teacher retention and development has been really strong and a big win for RLS this year.

Describe the overall effectiveness of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the LEA.

Our teacher credentialing is in a much better place than it was a year ago, and our staff have noted anecdotally that they feel as though they have a better understanding of what is expected of them. By dedicating a Network Support Team member to support teachers, teachers and School leaders have more capacity to devote time to things like on-going professional development and coaching. However, only 76% of our teachers had the right credential – an area where significant improvement is needed.

Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

We were under budget for many of our PD expenditures because our teacher staffing costs came in under budget, although our School Leaders were over budget. This is because we have many teachers that are in their first or second year, so have a lower salary. However, our school leader time, including Principal Lavi has more experience and gets paid more. We had more teachers with credentialing needs, so went slightly over budget.

Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions and services to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the LCAP.

We are continuing to improve our credentialing systems for 2017-18 so that we can ensure each student is being taught by an appropriately assigned, credentialed instructor. This is noted under Goal 2 below. Our PD model will also remain constant, with the flexibility to introduce new sessions that are relevant to next year's staff and students.

We will also be ready to devote more funds to assessments if necessary to prepare for the new EL exam.

Goal 3

School environment will be safe and welcoming for all students

State and/or Local Priorities Addressed by this goal:

STATE	⊠ 1	□2	□ 3	□ 4	□ 5	⊠ 6	□ 7	□ 8
COE	□ 9	□ 10)					
LOCAL								

ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

EXPECTED

- 1. Parents believe school is a safe place for their children: 92%
- 2. 3rd-5th grade students believe school is a safe environment to learn: 95%
- 3. Student suspension rate below that of neighboring schools
- 4. Student expulsion rate <1%

ACTUAL

- 1. Parents believe school is a safe place for their children: 79%
- 2. 3rd-5th grade students believe school is a safe environment to learn: 77%
- 3. Student suspension rate below that of neighboring schools: no, current suspension rate is 4.9% (over 3.20% for the district)
- 4. Student expulsion rate <1%: 0%

Action

1

Actions/Services

PLANNED

BOM

C-1. Rocketship Los Suenos employs a Business Operations Manager to manage support staff and oversee the daily operations of the school including the school breakfast and lunch program, arrival and dismissal, and the safety and cleanliness of all common spaces. This position is critical to meeting RLS's and the state's goals for student safety and maintaining facilities.

ACTUAL

Our BOM is an integral part of the RLS team. As planned, we hired and employed a BOM to coordinate daily operations. Our BOM at RLS is Ms. Lupe Carreno.

Expenditures	BUDGETED \$100,650 BOM (2301) <i>LCFF-S+C</i>	\$104,688 BOM (2301) <i>LCFF-S+C</i>
Action 2		
Actions/Services	School Maintenance C-2. We ensure that school facilities are in good repair through preventative maintenance. This includes annual inspections aligned with state Office of Public School Construction Facilities Inspection tool. As a result, we invest in necessary repairs and upgrades to ensure the school is a safe and welcoming environment for students, families and staff.	ACTUAL The RLS building is one of the oldest Rocketship campuses. There are 3-4 facilities walkthroughs each year conducted by the BOM and the network operations team, during which the school is reviewed for potential items out of compliance with state standards. Additionally, there is a portal for BOMs and other school staff to submit maintenance requests. Rocketship employs a handyman to help solve these small repairs.
Expenditures	BUDGETED \$48,000 Building repairs (5610) LCFF- S+C	ESTIMATED ACTUAL \$89,947 Building repairs (5610) LCFF- S+C
Action 3		
Actions/Services	Capital Facilities Repairs C-3. We allocate funds for capital facilities projects that extend beyond general maintenance and upkeep. These projects are large improvements on our facilities and benefit all students, families and staff.	ACTUAL Capital Improvements at RLS this year included: Speed bump installation Dimmer installation Repair gate Parking lot sagging fence repair FRP wainscot in hallway & LL & paint remainder Replace bathroom flooring & FRP Paint classrooms & ceiling grids Replace 50 ceiling tiles
Expenditures	\$111,911 Building repairs (5610)	ESTIMATED ACTUAL \$212,808 Building repairs (5610) LCFF-S+C

Action	4

Actions/Services

Expenditures

Action

Actions/Services

Expenditures

PLANNED

Custodial Services + Supplies

C-4. In order to provide a safe and clean environment in which teachers can focus on teaching, students can focus on learning, and school leaders can focus on leading, we employ a custodial team to ensure the daily upkeep of the campus and to identify any safety concerns or necessary repairs of the building.

ACTUAL

Custodial services happen once a day, with two deep cleans throughout the school year (usually during winter and spring break). This year, Rocketship began looking into contracting with a custodial company for all schools to help get better pricing and create more consistent service.

BUDGETED

\$111,911 Custodial services (5821)

LCFF-S+C

ESTIMATED ACTUAL

\$83,224 Custodial services (5821)

LCFF- S+C

PLANNED

Support Staff

C-4. In order to continue to strengthen our systems and operations we invest in staff to support daily transition points such as arrival, dismissal, lunch and recess. These transitions represent a significant percentage of behavior issues on campus. By employing support staff during these transitions, the school will ensure that students are provided with a safe and welcoming environment throughout the day. Students with behavior support needs will benefit from calmer and quieter transitions and additional supervision during this time will enable staff to quickly deescalate any outbursts that occur during this time.

ACTUAL

Support staff remain a critical investment at RLS, providing the necessary staffing for our universal breakfast program, lunch, arrival and dismissal. Support staff are our families' daily touchpoint with the school, providing support during arrival and dismissal and are a key aspect of school safety and parent satisfaction. Support staff also oversee lunch, another area of concern for many families. Our Network and BOM teams completed meal service walk-throughs this winter to help Support Staff better support our National School Lunch and Universal Breakfast Programs in a compliant, efficient manner that increases enjoyment for students.

BUDGETED

\$154,300 Support Staff Compensation (2201) LCFF-S+C

ESTIMATED ACTUAL

\$164,418 Support Staff Compensation (2201) LCFF-S+C

ANALYSIS

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's goals from the prior year LCAP. Duplicate the table as needed.

Use actual annual measurable outcome data, including performance data from the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable.

Describe the overall implementation of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal.

Creating a safe environment for our students is one of our top priorities and we've implemented many new systems to help with the main pain points RLS commonly hears from parents and other stakeholders: arrival and dismissal and facilities. As an aging campus, we invested significantly in capital repairs (nearly double) to improve our campus. Our BOM oversees facility and safety issues on the ground, with support from our network operations team.

There were many new initiatives this year, including hiring additional BOM managers at the network level to better support our BOMs. Having better support structures in place has allowed us to make progress in these areas. Having a solid leader operations leader on campus is a huge priority for our school, and creating a safe environment would not be possible without these systems.

Describe the overall effectiveness of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the LEA.

Creating a safe environment for our students is one of our top priorities, but despite our efforts this year we saw a significant decrease in parents feeling toward safety at the campus (91% to 79%). However, our student feelings toward safety increased from 57% to 77%. 33% of parents also indicated that school safety was one of their highest priorities, with another 16% indicating facilities was a high priority. Clearly, this is an area of priority for next year.

Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

As noted above, RLS is an aging school building and we invested heavily in capital repairs for our building. We were also significantly over budget for capital repairs and custodial services for the same reasons.

Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions and services to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the LCAP.

Next year, Capital Repairs will not hit the school budgets. This will reduce the need for Principal Lavi to have to make tough decisions about the safety of the building and other areas, such as instructional supplies. There will also be added budget for furniture replacement and security services.

Goal 4

Rocketship students will become self-motivated, competent, and lifelong learners and will develop a deep love of learning.

State and/or Local Priorities Addressed by this goal:	STATE	□ 4 ⊠ 5 ⊠				
ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES						
EXPECTED		ACTUAL				
 Student suspension rate: Below norm for school populations (3.2%) Student expulsion rate: <1% Student chronic absenteeism: 6.0% School ADA rate: >95% 	ols with similar	 Student suspension rate: 4.9% Student expulsion rate: 0% Student chronic absenteeism: 6.6% School ADA rate: 95.3% 				
Action						
education at F CCSS standa provides stude increase their instruction. Ti students with education, art Coordinators i culture. Impo opportunity fo may not be im setting. For ou	ent is a critical component of Rocketship. In addition to aligned, time spent in the Enrichments with fun and engaging a focus and commitment during the Enrichment Center Coordithe opportunity to engage in and various other enrichment play a critical role in strengther tantly, enrichment also proving the students to excel and show a mediately apparent in a general special Education students to excel and show a special Education students to exce	gning with nent Center ctivities that g core inators provide physical nt activities. The ening school des an off talents that eral education s, this can be an	Rocketship Los Suenos offered gardening, art and physical education enrichment options. Students and parents report high satisfaction with these offerings.			

Enrichment Coordinators \$137,200

Expenditures

Enrichment Coordinators

(2	101) LCFF-S+C	\$400 FOF
_	101) 2011 010	\$122,595
		(04.04) 4.055.0.0
		(2101) LCFF-S+C
		` '

Action

PLANNED

Field Trips

D-2. Field Trips provide an important opportunity to both deepen students' learning and increase engagement. Many of the field trips taken are science and/or social studies related, enabling teachers to integrate the learning into their thematic units back in the classroom. In addition, parents often attend field trips with their students, thereby increasing parental engagement as well. The cornerstone of our field trip experience is Fifth Grade Camp. Each year, Rocketship 5th graders goes to Groveland, CA for one week of hiking, science lessons, outdoor exploration and camp fun. For many Rocketeers, this is one of their first experiences leaving home.

ACTUAL

Each grade at Los Suenos took a field trip together. The cornerstone of our field trip program is fourth and fifth grade overnight trips. Our fourth graders attend Vida Verde for an overnight science trip. Our fifth graders take part in a week long science camp at Yosemite. For many of our students, this trip is often the first time they spend significant time away from their homes, preparing them for middle school the following year. Our younger students went to the Tech Museum, Chabot Space Center and the Discovery Museum.

Expenditures

Action

Actions/Services

9

BUDGETED

Field Trips \$33,000 (5860) *LCFF-S+C* **ESTIMATED ACTUAL**

Field Trips \$23,222 (5860) *LCFF-S+C*

PLANNED

Social Emotional Learning

D-3. RLS has been using Positive Behavior Intervention and Supports (PBIS) since the school opened. The fundamental purpose of PBIS is to create learning environments that are more consistent, predictable, positive, and safe, which helps our students develop their socio-emotional intelligence. One key component of PBIS is implementing a socio-emotional learning (SEL) curriculum. We implement the "Kimochi's" curriculum in the lower grades (pre-k through grade two), and the "RULER" approach in upper grades (third through fifth grades). The goal of these curricula is to help students identify, communicate, and regulate feelings, as well as

ACTUAL

Social emotional learning (SEL) has been an increasingly large focus at Los Suenos. As noted above, our 77% of our students feel safe at school. We know that feeling safe can have multiple meaning, which often extend beyond physical space and into mental space. As a result, we've continued to implement the Kimochi and RULER approaches, as appropriate for our students.

Actions/Services

develop appropriate social skills.	
BUDGETED	ESTIMATED ACTUAL
\$6,000	\$1,157
Instructional supplies (4340)	Instructional supplies (4340)
LCFF-Base	LCFF-Base

Expenditures

ANALYSIS

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's goals from the prior year LCAP. Duplicate the table as needed.

Use actual annual measurable outcome data, including performance data from the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable.

Describe the overall implementation of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal.

Ensuring that our students and staff enjoy their day-to-day school experience is a large priority for us. Our staff satisfaction increased this year and our students are happy with their enrichment and field trip offerings. Enrichment is built into each child's day and teachers have the autonomy to pick field trips for their students based on lessons.

Describe the overall effectiveness of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the LEA.

Our suspension rate at 4.9% is alarmingly high and points to our social-emotional efforts not being effective. Our school ADA has dipped slightly from 95.7% to 95.3%, and our chronic absenteeism rose slightly from 6% to 6.6%. Our attendance and truancy task force will address this as well.

Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

Los Suenos was under budget in each area for this Goal. Part of this is because the school was underenrolled and therefore was able to spend less on variable costs, such as Field Trip admissions and transportation.

Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions and services to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the LCAP.

We will implement new social-emotional learning programs next year (see below in Goal 4), as well as more parent engagement on suspensions. These will align with our after school programming.

Next year, we will report our Chronic Absenteeism aligned to the future Dashboard calculation. See below in Goal 4: Goals Actions and Services for our Chronic Absenteeism rates calculated in this manner.

Goal 5

Rocketship parents are engaged in their students' education

State and/or Local Priorities Addressed by this goal:

STATE	□ 1	□ 2	⊠ 3	□ 4	⊠ 5	□ 6	□ 7	□ 8
COE	□ 9	□ 10)					
LOCAL								

ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

EXPECTED ACTUAL

- Percentage of parents attending an average of at least one school event per month: 25%
- 2. Parent satisfaction: 76%
- 3. Number of community meetings each year: at least 5 meetings
- 4. Number of parent conferences: at least 3

- 1. Percentage of parents attending an average of at least one school event per month: 25%
- 2. Parent satisfaction: 73%
- 3. Number of community meetings each year: at least 5 meetings
- 4. Number of parent conferences: at least 3

Action

PLANNED

Parent involvement

E-1. Community Events: RLS hosts many special events during the year to engage parents and families. These events include community meetings, exhibition nights, and other school events. In order to support these efforts, RLS invests in parent appreciation items and provides a materials budget.

Parent Volunteer Opportunities: Rocketship Los Suenos parents will be encouraged to volunteer at the schools to help tighten the link between the families and the school as well as assist RLS teachers and staff with various school operations. These activities will vary widely but will include classroom assistance, translating documents, administrative assistance, and assisting in special school

ACTUAL

Parent engagement is one of the cornerstones of Rocketship Los Suenos educational plan. RLS provides frequent opportunities for parents to engage with school staff. Parents frequently lead these efforts along with school staff, thereby building their own skills as community leaders.

Actions/Services

	ovente				
	events.				
Expenditures	BUDGETED Parent Appreciation and Materials \$12,800 (5822, 4510)	ESTIMATED ACTUAL Parent Appreciation and Materials \$6,010 (5822, 4510)			
	LCFF-base	LCFF-base			
Action 2					
	PLANNED	ACTUAL			
Actions/Services	Parent Outreach E-2. RLS provides many opportunities throughout the school year for parents to interact with RLS staff. The school offers monthly forums for parents to provide feedback as well as learn in depth about school activities enables parents to become a more active participin their child's education.				
Expenditures	BUDGETED Parent Outreach \$7,800 (1101), LCFF-base	ESTIMATED ACTUAL Parent Outreach \$5,539 (1101), LCFF-base			
Action 3					
	PLANNED	ACTUAL			
Actions/Services	Office Manager E-3. Rocketship's Office Managers are the face of the school to students and families. Office Managers oversee much of the communication that goes directly to families and coordinate many parent engagement efforts, including parent volunteerism and community events. Office Managers are critical to our efforts to engage families in their children's learning and the school community.	The Office Manager at RLS is Ms. Patty Garcia. She has been a staple at Los Suenos for many years and is a continually strong presence at the school.			
Expenditures	BUDGETED \$75,915 OM Compensation (2401)	\$77,218 OM Compensation (2401)			
		Page 25 of Error! Bookmark not defined.			

LCFF-S+C	LCFF-S+C

ANALYSIS

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's goals from the prior year LCAP. Duplicate the table as needed.

Use actual annual measurable outcome data, including performance data from the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable.

Describe the overall implementation of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal.

Parent involvement starts when parents first walk in the school door, and our Office Manager is often the first and most common point of contact at the school. The OM and the Principal work together to ensure that parents receive frequent and appropriate communication from the school. We also have regional and national seems to support parent engagement.

Describe the overall effectiveness of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the LEA.

Parent satisfaction dipped slightly this year from 74% to 73% of parents reporting satisfaction with their school and is one of the lowest in the network, however, we met our target for parents attending at least one event per month at 25%, an increase from 24% last year. This shows that we still have a ways to go, particularly in terms of increasing parent satisfaction.

Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

RLS is under budget for parent appreciation materials so far this year. Funds have been used for refreshments at community meetings, programming during many evening events and parent appreciation gifts.

Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions and services to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the LCAP.

One issue of concern this year has been the rising suspension rate and decreasing ADA at RLS, which can relate back to parental involvement. One big initiative we will be pushing next year is giving parents the skills to help their child succeed in the classroom. We will use our summer registration days for a more holistic orientation. See Goal 5 below.

Stakeholder Engagement

LCAP Year

INVOLVEMENT PROCESS FOR LCAP AND ANNUAL UPDATE

How, when, and with whom did the LEA consult as part of the planning process for this LCAP/Annual Review and Analysis?

Rocketship Los Sueños Academy provides regular opportunities for stakeholders to give input on the running of their school. These opportunities include, but are not limited to, monthly coffee chats with the principal, community meetings, and 1:1 meetings with the school leadership team.

In all of these engagement opportunities, Rocketship Los Sueños Academy encourages school stakeholders to comment on the strengths they see in the school and any operational or instructional concerns they may have, which in turn influence the school's LCAP action items and school initiatives.

The formal engagement process with stakeholders began by sharing our annual Parent Satisfaction Survey with our families in February 2017. The surveys were available in English and Spanish so all of our families could participate. The survey included a question for participants to indicate the top two priorities for school improvement at Rocketship Los Sueños Academy. 146 parents responded. The results of the surveys were tallied and then presented to the School Site Council, a school group composed of parents, teachers, school leaders and Rocketship Education Network Staff, on April 18, 2017. The School Site Council, using the results from the Parent Satisfaction survey, the CA Dashboard and other internal metrics, such as NWEA scores, identified the areas most in need of improvement.

Concurrently, Rocketship Los Sueños Academy held an in-person Parent Coffee on May 5, 2017 to for all families understand the components of LCAP and to discuss how we could best use the LCFF funds to serve our students and improve services in alignment with the state priorities. In addition to sharing the state's goals, we shared information about services and resources currently offered by the school that align with those priorities and initial proposals for additional services and resources we could offer. If families did not complete the February Parent Satisfaction Survey, they were given the option to complete an online survey indicating the two areas they felt are most in need of improvement. Parent representatives from all student subgroups, including Hispanic and Asian student subgroups and parents of ELs, attended the meeting.

Students at Rocketship Los Sueños Academy were also consulted in regards to their feelings on school safety and climate in a student safety survey. 69 students in grades 3-5 responded to this ask. Parents were also asked about school culture in the Parent Satisfaction Survey.

Rocketship Education staff both on a school level and network level engaged in the LCAP review process. Teachers provided feedback via online survey starting on March 14, 2017. In addition, the Rocketship Education Network Finance Team met with the Rocketship Los Sueños Academy leadership team on April 25, 2017 to discuss areas of growth and improvement at their school. Rocketship Education's Board of Directors met on May 25, 2017 to review and finalize Rocketship Los Sueños Academy LCAP.

IMPACT ON LCAP AND ANNUAL UPDATE

How did these consultations impact the LCAP for the upcoming year?

Feedback from our parents, students, teachers, school leadership and staff is crucial for continued success at Rocketship Los Sueños Academy. The stakeholder engagement opportunities listed above resulted in clear, and often correlating, suggestions for meaningful improvement for the upcoming academic year.

When parents were asked during the Parent Satisfaction Surveys what were the most critical areas for improvement at Rocketship Los Sueños Academy, the top three responses were: School Safety (33%), Quality of Teachers (24%) and Communication (21%). School Safety scores were 2% below network average. Quality of Teachers and Communication were above the Rocketship Network average 1% and 2% respectively.

Students expressed contrasting sentiments around school safety. Of the 69 surveyed, 48% of students say they "Always" feel safe at school and 77% "Always" or "Usually" feel safe. Rocketship Los Sueños Academy's "Always" feel safe score is the highest throughout Rocketship Network. 40% of student responses indicated that nothing makes them feel unsafe at school.

The list of areas of improvement that surfaced with parents and students were reiterated and expanded upon with teachers, staff and school leadership. Upon reflecting on the previous academic year, school leaders felt that areas of focus for next year are: giving teachers purposeful professional development training, strengthening parent communication and expanding the use of online learning programs. During the school's SSC meeting, participants felt that though safety (particularly around arrival and dismissal) is an area of growth, focus areas alluded to by school leaders were brought up as primary areas of improvement. The SSC particularly felt that communication with families was a high priority because it is the key driver to ensure that expectations around behavior are clear. This is a strategy to decrease current school suspension rates, which has increased by approximately 3% since the previous academic year.

In summary, Rocketship Los Sueños Academy stakeholders feel that though school safety continues to be a work in progress, they recommend that improvements are made in teacher professional development, online learning programming and more thoughtful and engaging communication with families. This investment will allow for the school to strengthen its overall sense of community while also progressing in making improvements on Accountability Dashboard initiatives.

Goals, Actions, & Services

Strategic Planning Details and Accountability

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate the t	able as needed.
---	-----------------

	New	Modified	□ Unchanged
Goal 1	Improve Rocketeers' p	proficiency in key content areas,	overall and for key subgroups

State and/or Local Priorities Addressed by this goal:

STATE	□ 1	⊠ 2	□ 3	⊠ 4	□ 5	□ 6	□ 7	⊠ 8				
COE	□ 9	□ 10										
LOCAL												

Identified Need

While Rocketship Los Suenos has performed well in comparison to neighboring schools with similar demographics, historically not all students have achieved proficiency. In particular, there is a subset of students who persistently perform in the Below Basic or Far Below Basic quintiles, and new students in particular, enter Rocketship Los Suenos, on average, 1.5 years below grade level. With the increased rigor of the SBAC, it is even more essential that we invest in strategies to support all our students making progress towards proficiency. Our Math Indicator on the new CA dashboards is in the orange range, and has been and will be a great focus for Principal Lavi and the Los Suenos' staff.

EXPECTED ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

Metrics/Indicators	Baseline	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
English Learner Progress Indicator	78.2%, increased 10.9%	Increase to 80%		
ELA Indicator	Low (43 points below level 3), increased 12.9 points	Increase 8 points to 35 points below level 3		
Math Indicator	Low (25.3 points below level 3), decreased 3.6 points	Increase 5 points to 20 points below level 3		

PLANNED ACTIONS / SERVICES

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's Actions/Services. Duplicate the table, including Budgeted Expenditures, as needed.

Action 1								
For Actions/Services not included as co	ntributing to meet	ing the Ir	ncreased or Im	nproved Services Re	equiremen	t:		
Students to be Served	⊠ AII □ Stu	udents wit	h Disabilities	☐ [Specific Student	Group(s)]			
<u>Location(s)</u>	☐ All schools	☐ Spe	cific Schools:		_ ☐ Spe	ecific Grade spa	ans:	
			OR					
For Actions/Services included as contrib	outing to meeting	the Incre	ased or Impro	ved Services Requi	rement:			
Students to be Served	☐ English Learn	ers [☐ Foster Youth	☐ Low Income				
	Scope of S	<u>ervices</u>	LEA-wide Group(s)	☐ Schoolwide	OR	☐ Limited to	Unduplicated Studen	t
Location(s)	☐ All schools	☐ Spe	cific Schools:		_ ☐ Spe	ecific Grade spa	ans:	
ACTIONS/SERVICES								
2017-18		2018-19)		2019-20			
☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged		☐ New	Modified	Unchanged	☐ New	☐ Modified	Unchanged	
Common Core-aligned instruction & material A-1. The Rocketship Los Suenos curriculum California adoption of the Common Core State ("CCSS") for the subject areas of: English/Late (includes Writing), and Mathematics, as well standards for Social Studies, Art and Music Generation Science Standards. Rocketship ELA and Math focus standards – the most meach grade level – as the most important material success in order to prioritize the focus of instalso ensuring that all grade-level standards every course. Rocketship Los Suenos operationed and therefore this core curriculum will students including Special Education student teachers will use the ELL framework to emb	m follows the ate Standards anguage Arts I as the state and the Next has established agorous CCSS at arkers of struction while are addressed in ates an inclusion I benefit all ats. Rocketship							

tasks, receptive tasks and productive language functions into the curriculum to aid language acquisition. Rocketship Los Suenos utilizes a Balanced Literacy approach for ELA instruction with a significant focus on Guided Reading. Expanding the breadth and depth of our classroom libraries will ensure that all students have access to a wide variety of texts to meet the different genre requirements in Common Core, as well as ensuring that all students have access to books at their appropriate reading level. For EL students, this can be particularly motivating and a useful strategy to engage reluctant or struggling readers. These expanded libraries will also enable us to loan out books for students to take home, so that parents can support reading and language acquisition efforts at home. Student will receive differentiated homework packets so their work at home matches the personalization they receive in the classroom.						
BUDGETED EXPE	NDITURES NDITURES					
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20	
	\$18,000 Core Curricu	llum LCFF-base				
Amount	\$35,490 Books LCFF	- S+C	Amount		Amount	
Source	See above		Source		Source	
Budget Reference	(4100) (4210)		Budget Reference		Budget Reference	
Action 2						
For Actions/Service	ces not included as c	ontributing to meet	ting the Increa	sed or Improved Services Re	equirement:	
<u>S</u>	tudents to be Served	☐ All ☐ Stud	dents with Disal	oilities [Specific Student C	Group(s)]	
	Location(s)	☐ All schools	☐ Specific Sc	chools:	☐ Specific (Grade spans:

OR

For Actions/Services included as contr	ibuting to meeting the In-	creased or	Improved Se	ervices Requireme	ent:	
Students to be Served		☐ Foster Y	∕outh ⊠	Low Income		
	Scope o	of Services	LEA-wide		de OR	Limited to Unduplicated
<u>Location(s)</u>	☐ All schools ☐ Sp	pecific School	ols:		Specific G	rade spans:
ACTIONS/SERVICES						
2017-18		2018-19			2019-20	
☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged		☐ New	Modified	Unchanged	□ New	☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged
Personalized Learning A-2. RLS's key instructional practices inclublended learning, data-driven instruction, I and teacher specialization. The specific in Rtl curriculum and tutors. This personalized instruction occurs in the investments in Learning Lab Materials at important so that each student has the mainstruction at his or her level. In addition, of Learning Programs (OLPs) are able to a level, ensuring that all aspects of our instruationately differentiated for our Special We invest in Chromebooks and invest but maintaining a 5:2, student to Chromebook invest in technology consultants to ensure and OLPs are working smoothly, Rockets's support consultants. Next year, we will look to integrate OLPs in By integrating technology, such as digital to settings, our teachers have more time for instruction which better serves our unduplicational copies of materials so that all students have includes copying passages of books so the	Response to Intervention vestments for Rtl include learning lab, making and Leveled Libraries terials to receive ur adaptive Online dapt to each student's actional program are Education students. dget each year to ratio. Additionally, we that our Chromebooks hip invests in technology more into the classroom. exts into classroom ocused, small group cated students. lows for teachers to make re access to them. This					

copy and can mark up her or his own version.								
BUDGETED EXPE	NDITURES							
2017-18	2017-18			2018-19		2019-20	2019-20	
	OLPs: \$41,053 (4411)	LCFF-S+C						
	Chromebooks: \$30,237	7 (4421) LC	CFF-S+C					
	Learning Lab Materials S+C	\$5,000 (43	90) LCFF-					
	Leveled Libraries \$12,0	000 (4115)	CFF- S+C					
Amount	Printing and Reproduct S+C			Amount		Amount		
	Rtl Curriculum \$1,800	(4120)						
	Tutors \$248,016 (2101 Title I)						
	Technology Consultant	ts						
	\$25,000 (5807) LCFF S+C							
Source	See above			Source		Source		
Budget Reference	See above			Budget Reference		Budget Reference		
Action 3								
For Actions/Services not included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:								
Students to be Served				vith Disabilities	Specific Student G	Group(s)]		
	Location(s)	☐ All sch	ools 🛚 🖾 Sp	ecific Schools:_RL	.S	cific Grade spans:_		

For Actions/Services included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:									
<u>S</u>	tudents to be Served	☐ English Learners	☐ Foster `	Youth	Low Income				
		Scop	e of Services	LEA-wide Schoolwid			e OR		
	Location(s)	☐ All schools ☐	Specific Scho	ecific Schools: S			Specific Grade spans:		
ACTIONS/SERVICE	<u>ES</u>								
2017-18			2018-19	2018-19			2019-20		
☐ New ☐ Modifi	ed Unchanged		☐ New	☐ Modified	Unchanged	☐ New	Modified	Unchanged	
Special Education supports A-3. Although RLS runs an inclusion model, we realize that our special education students may require additional supports to achieve academically and in non-cognitive functions. These additional supports include additional assessments, such as psycho-educational assessments, speech-language assessments and occupational therapy assessments; additional staffing supports, such as adaptive PE instructors, physical therapists, mental health supports and assistive technology specialists; additional materials, including instructional supplies for speech lessons, counseling materials for school psychologists and occupational therapy materials; and adaptive technology. The Rocketship model combines traditional classroom instruction with blended learning, which enables online learning programs technology, small group instruction and tutoring. All students, including our Special Education students, access and benefit from this instructional model as Rocketship Los Suenos operates an inclusion model. In particular, our Special Education students benefits from our Rtl model in which they receive additional Tier II and Tier III tutoring from the general education, special education, Learning Lab and paraprofessional staff.									
BUDGETED EXPE	<u>NDITURES</u>	0010.15			0040.00				
2017-18	Ф0.000		2018-19			2019-20			
Amount	\$6,600 (ISE 4360, ISE 4330, I	,	Amount			Amount			

	IDEA									
	Contracted Services									
	\$5,500 (ISE 5802) State Special Education	on funding								
Source	See above		Source			Source				
Budget Reference	See above	Budget Refere			Budget Referenc	е				
Action 4										
For Actions/Servi	ices not included as c	ontributing to meeting	the Increas	sed or Impro	ved Services Requi	rement:				
<u> </u>	Students to be Served	☐ All ☐ Student	s with Disab	ilities 🔲 🛚	Specific Student Grou	p(s)]				
	Location(s)	All schools	Specific Sch	nools:		☐ Specific Gr	ade spans:			
OR										
For Actions/Servi	For Actions/Services included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:									
<u>\$</u>	Students to be Served									
		Scope o	f Services	ervices ☐ LEA-wide ☐ Schoolwide Student Group(s)			Limited to Unduplicated			
	Location(s)	All schools	Specific Sch	nools:		Specific Gr	ade spans:			
ACTIONS/SERVIC	CES CES									
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20				
☐ New ☐ Modif	fied 🛚 Unchanged		☐ New	Modified	Unchanged	☐ New [☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged			
A-4. Our goal is to help our EL students make rapid progress out of levels 1 and 2 and into levels 3 and higher on the CELDT Assessment. We believe that the most effective instructional approach for a school with a high EL population is to embed ELD principles in all aspects of the curriculum and to teach explicit ELD during a portion of the day. To embed ELD principles across all subjects, we work with Project GLAD.										

(Guided Language Acquisition Design) to teach our teachers methods to provide additional instructional support to EL students. Our explicit ELD will focus on developing oral language, grammatical constructs and academic vocabulary in English. This period will take place during the Humanities block when EL students may be leveled by English fluency and provided with explicit ELD instruction. In the Rtl tutoring program, ELs who are not making Significant Gains may receive Literacy instruction as well as ELD as appropriate. Special Education students who are also ELs may have a particularly challenging time acquiring English language. In these cases, we provide Tier II and Tier III tutoring in small group or 1:1 settings. Additionally, we also provide ongoing professional development to our literacy teachers to help them with EL instruction throughout the school year.								
BUDGETED EXPE	NDITU	<u>JRES</u>						
2017-18				2018-19		2019-20		
Amazunt	GLAE	Training \$17,055		Amount		Amount		
Amount	Ongo	ing literacy teacher	PD \$36,000	Amount		Amount		
Source	(5804 (1101	•		Source		Source		
Budget Reference	Title I	II		Budget Reference		Budget Reference		
		New	☐ Modified		☑ Unchanged			
Goal 2 Rocketeers will have access to Common Core standards aligned curriculum across a broad array of content areas taught by appropriately assigned, highly qualified teachers							d array of content areas taught	
State and/or Local Priorities Addressed by this goal: STATE 1				1 🛛 2 🔲 3 🖾 4 🔲 5 🖂 6 🖂 7 🖾 8				
COE LOCAL			□ 9 □ 10					

Identified Need

Now that Common Core State Standards are fully implemented, it is essential that we are providing students with aligned curriculum and material. Rocketship Los Suenos adopted a CCSS aligned Math curriculum and Writing program in 2014-15. RLS is now working to leverage technology as a means of personalizing education for students and building students' technical fluency and invest in science and social studies curricula.

With the state-wide teacher shortage, we've hired more and more teachers who had credentialing needs due to them coming from out of state and/or being new to teaching and needing to obtain a credential.

EXPECTED ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

Metrics/Indicators	Baseli	ne	2017	-18	201	8-19	2019-20	
School provides standards-aligned instructional materials	Met/not met		Met					
School provides standards-aligned professional development	Met/not met - # PD provided ea		320					
100% of full-time teachers have appropriate credentials	Not met – 76%		Met – 100%					
Action 1								
For Actions/Services n	ot included as co	ntributing to m	eeting the Increa	ased or Impro	ved Services Red	quirement:		
Stude	nts to be Served	☐ AII ☐	Students with Disabilities [Specific S			Group(s)]		
	Location(s)	All schools	s Specific Schools:			Specific Grade spans:		
				OR				
For Actions/Services in	cluded as contrib	outing to meet	ng the Increased	d or Improved	Services Require	ement:		
Stude	nts to be Served	⊠ English Le	arners	ster Youth	□ Low Income			
		Scope o	T SARVICAS -	.EA-wide [up(s)	Schoolwide	OR 🔲 I	Limited to Unduplicated Student	
	Location(s)	All schools	☐ Specific S	Schools:		☐ Specific (Grade spans:	

ACTIONS/SERVICES

2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged	☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged	☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged
Professional Development B-1. Summer PD Each summer, RLS hosts an intensive three week summer training for all teachers that emphasizes foundational knowledge in culture and classroom. We provide training in classroom management and effective planning, including daily lessons, units, and yearlong plans. We also introduce foundational components of the Rocketship program, including the use of data, instructional techniques, and the scope and sequence of curricula. Sessions are differentiated by subject and grade and focus on skill-building to maximize teacher time.		
One area in particular we will look to improve for next year is writing instruction. RLS will work with teachers over the summer and on-going through the school year to provide better writing instruction techniques for students. These PD sessions can be led by other Rocketship teachers with stronger writing skills, or network support team member with expertise in this area.		
Thursday PD Rocketship schools dedicate at least 200 hours throughout the school year for staff PD. We dismiss students two and a half hours early one day a week to allow for an afternoon of purposeful and customized PD and culture building for staff. The Principals and Assistant Principals at each school facilitate and organize sessions at each school, targeting the areas of development they see as most beneficial to the staff, personalizing supports for teachers. Professional Development Fund		
Rocketship Los Suenos has a number of veteran Rocketship teachers who express a strong desire to continue honing their craft and developing as professionals. RLS will establish a professional development fund to reward high-performing teachers with additional learning opportunities and to incentivize experienced teachers to continue working at Rocketship where their development is made a priority. With eligible topics including Spanish language study, teaching in an inclusion model, and the Teachers College Reading And Writing Institute, students		

are sure to benefit f will have received.	rom this additional train	ing their teachers				
BUDGETED EXPE	NDITURES					
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20	
	\$114,787 Summer					
Amount	\$69,534 Thursday		Amount		Amount	
	\$15,000 PD Fund					
Source	LCFF S+C		Source		Source	
Budget Reference	1301, 1101, 5804		Budget Reference		Budget Referenc e	
Action 2						
For Actions/Service	ces not included as c	ontributing to mee	ting the Increa	ased or Improved Services R	equirement:	
<u>s</u>	tudents to be Served	⊠ All ☐ Stu	dents with Disa	bilities [Specific Student of	Group(s)]	
	Location(s)	All schools	Specific Sc	chools:	☐ Specific	Grade spans:
				OR		
For Actions/Service	ces included as contr	ibuting to meeting	the Increased	or Improved Services Requ	irement:	
<u>S</u>	tudents to be Served	□ English Learner	ers 🗌 Fost	er Youth \(\sum \) Low Income		
		<u>ş</u>	Scope of Service	□ LEA-wide ⊠ Sch Student Group(s)	oolwide C	OR ☐ Limited to Unduplicated
	Location(s)	☐ All schools	Specific So	chools:	Specific	Grade spans:
ACTIONS/SERVICE	 <u></u>					

2017-18 2018-19 2019-20

☐ New ☐ Mod	ified 🗵 Unchanged	☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged	☐ New ☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged
assessments to de Assessments incluies Four rounder NWEA three STEP at lee State-mare To ensure that our Rocketship Los State Core aligned benefor data driven instrused to adjust instrument towards mastery of RLS will hire temps	ds of cumulative assessments see times per year east four times per year ndated CAASPP r students are ready for success on the CAASPP, uenos transitioned to computer based, Common chmark assessments. Consistent with our model ruction, the results of these benchmarks will be ruction to ensure that all students are moving of the Common Core standards.		
and scoring of ass			
2017-18		2018-19	2019-20
Amount	Assessments \$26,623 (4414) LCFF-S+C Temps \$20,000 (5838) LCFF-S+C	Amount	Amount
Source	See above	Source	Source
Budget Reference	See above	Budget Reference	Budget Reference
Action 3			
For Actions/Serv	rices not included as contributing to meeting the	e Increased or Improved Services Requir	ement:
	Students to be Served All Students w	rith Disabilities	o(s)]

	Location(s)	☐ All schools	☐ Spe	ecific School	s:	☐ Specific Grad	de spans:		
				OF	र				
For Actions/Serv	vices included as contr	ibuting to meeti	ng the Inc	reased or Ir	mproved Se	rvices Requireme	ent:		
	Students to be Served	□ English Lea	rners [Foster Yo	outh 🛛 L	_ow Income			
			Scope of	Services	LEA-wide Student Grou		de OR	Limited	d to Unduplicated
	Location(s)	All schools	☐ Spe	ecific School	s:		Specific Gra	ade spans:	
ACTIONS/SERVI	CES								
2017-18				2018-19			2019-20		
☐ New ☐ Mod	lified Unchanged			☐ New [Modified	Unchanged	New	Modified	Unchanged
assessments, the RLS will have a fu key component of positive trends of how to better utilize	ministration of these bi-m teachers, Assistant Princill day analyzing interim a these data days, a teach the entire class and chal the data enables teachers for serve all students.	cipal, and Princip assessment data. her identifies over lenges as well. Le	As a rall earning						
BUDGETED EXP	ENDITURES								
2017-18				2018-19			2019-20		
Amount	\$44,149			Amount			Amount		
Source	LCFF-S+C			Source			Source		
Budget Reference	(1101, 1301)			Budget Reference			Budget Reference		
Action 4									
For Actions/Serv	vices not included as c	ontributing to m	eeting the	Increased	or Improved	Services Require	ement:		
	Students to be Served	□ All □ S	Students wi	th Disabilitie	s [Spec	cific Student Group	(s)]		-

	Location(s)	☐ All schools	Specific Sc	hools:		☐ Specific 0	Grade spans:_					
				OR								
For Actions/Serv	For Actions/Services included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:											
<u>\$</u>	Students to be Served		s ☐ Foste	er Youth	□ Low Income							
		Scope	e of Services	LEA-wide		de OR	Limited	d to Unduplicated				
	Location(s)	☐ All schools	Specific Sc	hools:		☐ Specific 0	Grade spans:_					
ACTIONS/SERVIC	<u>CES</u>											
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20						
☐ New ☐ Modi	fied 🛚 Unchanged		☐ New	Modified	Unchanged	☐ New	Modified	Unchanged				
Coaching B-4. The foundational piece of our ongoing staff development is customized, targeted one-on-one coaching provided by Assistant Principals or Principals to teachers. RLS teachers receive ongoing coaching and support from the Assistant Principal or Principal responsible for their grade level. This typically includes about three hours per week of support for our newest teachers or others who need extra support. This helps teachers better instruct all students, including ELs and Special Education students.												
BUDGETED EXPE	<u>ENDITURES</u>											
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20						
Amount	Coaching \$229,688		Amount			Amount						
Source	1301		Source			Source						
Budget Reference	LCFF-S+C		Budget Reference	е		Budget Reference	e					

Action **5**

For Actions/Servi	ces not included as co	entributing to meeting	the Increase	d or Improv	ved Services Req	uirement:					
	Students to be Served All Students with Disabilities [Specific Student Group(s)]										
	Location(s)	☐ All schools	☐ All schools ☐ Specific Schools:RLS ☐ Specific Grade spans:								
			(OR							
For Actions/Servi	ces included as contril	buting to meeting the	Increased or	Improved	Services Require	ment:					
	Students to be Served	☐ English Learners	☐ Foster	Youth	Low Income						
		Scope o		LEA-wide		de OR	Limited	d to Unduplicated			
	Location(s)	All schools] Specific Sch	ools:		Specific	Grade spans:				
ACTIONS/SERVIC	<u>ES</u>										
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20					
☐ New ☐ Modif	ied		☐ New ☐	Modified	Unchanged	□ New	Modified	Unchanged			
hold a valid CA Tealearner authorization Teaching Credential employment will ho appropriate English Resources will ann RLS partners with the Each teacher in new Manager in the RSI process. There will	teachers will be appropri- teachers will be appropri- aching Credential with ap- on as defined by the CA (aling. All core teacher ca old valid CA Teaching Cro- on learner authorization; Roually review assignment the Reach Institute for cro- ed of credentialing is assigned to the core ED HR team to help guid be a new HR info syster eacher know what he or second	opropriate English Commission on Indidates screened for Indiates sc									
BUDGETED EXPE	<u>NDITURES</u>										
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20					
Amount	Credentialing \$27,500		Amount			Amount					

Source	Title	II ator Effectiveness Grant		Source			Source	
Budget Reference	5833			Budget Reference			Budget Reference	
		New	Modified		⊠ Unch	nanged		
Goal 3	3	School environment wil	l be safe and	welcoming for a	all students			
State and/or Local Priorities Addressed by this goal:			COE	1			□ 8	
Identified Need			Suenos has Behavioral We also se	s a strong foundation and states	tion in positiv Supports fran Illying on our	e behavioral pra nework, we beli campus. 77% c	actices, includi eve it is critica	environment. While Rocketship Los ng implementation of the Positive I to maintain these high standards. ok that their school is a safe place to
EXPECTED ANNU	JAL ME	ASURABLE OUTCOMES						
Metrics/Indicato	rs	Baseline		2017-18		2018-	19	2019-20
3rd-5th grade stude believe school is a safe environment to learn		77%	80%					
Parents believe scl is safe	hool	79%	82%					

Action

For Actions/Services not included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:

	Students to be Served	☐ All ☐ St	udents with Dis	sabilities	☐ [Specific Student	t Group(s)]			
	Location(s)	☐ All schools	☐ Specific	Schools:		_ Spec	cific Grade spa	ans:	
				OR					
For Actions/Service	ces included as contrib	outing to meeting	the Increase	d or Impro	oved Services Requ	irement:			
	Students to be Served	⊠ English Learn	ers 🗌 Fo	ster Youth					
		Scope of S	Arvicae —	LEA-wide up(s)	⊠ Schoolwide	OR	Limited to	Unduplicated Student	
	Location(s)	☐ All schools	☐ Specific	Schools:		_ Spec	cific Grade spa	ans:	
ACTIONS/SERVIC	<u>ES</u>								
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20			
☐ New ☐ Modifi	ied 🛭 Unchanged		☐ New ☐	Modified	Unchanged	☐ New	Modified	Unchanged	
C-1. Rocketship Los Suenos employs a Business Operations Manager to manage support staff and oversee the daily operations of the school including the school breakfast and lunch program, arrival and dismissal, and the safety and cleanliness of all common spaces. This position is critical to meeting RLS's and the state's goals for student safety and maintaining facilities.									
BUDGETED EXPE	NDITURES NOTICE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT								
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20			
Amount	\$103,125 BOM		Amount			Amount			
Source	LCFF-S+C		Source			Source			
Budget Reference	2301		Budget Reference			Budget Referenc e			

Action 2

For Actions/Servi	ces not included as o	contributing to I	meeting the	e Increased	or Impro	ved Ser	vices Require	ment:		
<u>S</u>	tudents to be Served	⊠ AII □	Students wi	th Disabilities	<u> </u>	pecific S	Student Group(s)]		
	Location(s)	All schools	☐ Spe	ecific Schools	:		S	pecific Grad	le spans:	
				OF	R					
For Actions/Servi	ces included as cont	ributing to mee	ting the Inc	creased or Ir	nproved	Service	es Requiremen	nt:		
<u>S</u>	tudents to be Served	☐ English Lea	arners	☐ Foster You	uth [Low Ir	ncome			
			Scope	of Services	LEA Student	-wide :Group(s	Schoolwid	de OR	Limite	ed to Unduplicated
	Location(s)	All schools	☐ Spe	ecific Schools	•		🗆 S	pecific Grad	le spans:	
ACTIONS/SERVIC	<u>ES</u>									
2017-18				2018-19				2019-20		
☐ New ☐ Modif	ied 🛚 Unchanged			☐ New [Modifie	ed 🔲 l	Jnchanged	☐ New	Modified	Unchanged
preventative mainted with state Office of tool. As a result, we ensure the school is students, families at Rocketship Los Surfurniture items. This classroom. Teachers will be given the state of the s	at school facilities are internance. This includes a Public School Construct invest in necessary rest a safe and welcoming and staff. The property of the pro	annual inspection ction Facilities In epairs and upgrage environment for furniture to repay of safety in the ases related to constitute to con	ns aligned aspection des to or acce aging edecorating							
	outfitting their classroor the instructional supply		materials							
BUDGETED EXPE	<u>NDITURES</u>									
2017-18				2018-19				2019-20		
Amount	\$89,947 – Building re \$11,920 – furniture LC \$16,000 – Teacher Ro	CFF-S+C)	Amount				Amount		

Source	See above		Source		Source					
Budget Reference	5601 4430 4330		Budget Reference		Budget Reference					
Action 3										
For Actions/Serv	ices not included as	contributing to meeting	the Increased or Imp	roved Services Requi	rement:					
<u>s</u>	Students to be Served		s with Disabilities	Specific Student Group	(s)]					
	Location(s)	☐ All schools ☐	Specific Schools:		Specific Grade spa	ns:				
			OR							
For Actions/Serv	For Actions/Services included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:									
<u>s</u>	Students to be Served									
	Scope of Services LEA-wide Student Group(s) Limited to Unduplicated									
	Location(s)	☐ All schools ☐	Specific Schools:		Specific Grade spa	ns:				
ACTIONS/SERVIC	<u>CES</u>									
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20					
☐ New ☐ Modi	fied Unchanged		☐ New ☐ Modified	Unchanged	☐ New ☐ Modif	ied				
teachers can focus and school leaders team to ensure the any safety concern The quality of cust Rocketship network	es + Supplies ovide a safe and clean of son teaching, students is can focus on leading, it daily upkeep of the can sor necessary repairs odial services can vary it is in the process of neustodial services. This is over the services is in the process.	can focus on learning, we employ a custodial mpus and to identify of the building. dramatically. The egotiating a network-								
consistent services										

BUDGETED EXPENDITURES

2017-18			2018-19		2019-20		
Amount	\$76,786		Amount		Amount		
Source	5821		Source		Source		
Budget Reference	LCFF-S+C		Budget Reference		Budget Reference		
Action 4	ces not included as co	intributing to meeting	the Incressed or	Improved Services Requ	urement.		
	Students to be Served		ts with Disabilities	☐ [Specific Student Green			
							
	<u>Location(s)</u>	All schools	Specific Schools:		Specific G	rade spans:	
For Actions/Soni	and included as contri	outing to mosting the	OR	royad Carriago Boguirar	mont:		
			·	roved Services Requirer	nent:		
	Students to be Served		☐ Foster Yout	h 🛛 Low Income			
		Scope o		A-wide Schoolwident Group(s)	e OR	Limited to	o Unduplicated
	Location(s)	All schools] Specific Schools:_		☐ Specific G	rade spans:	
ACTIONS/SERVIC	ES						
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20		
☐ New ☐ Modif	fied 🛛 Unchanged		☐ New ☐ Mo	dified Unchanged	☐ New ☐	Modified [Unchanged
operations we invesuch as arrival, disrepresent a signific By employing suppwill ensure that stuenvironment through	ntinue to strengthen our set in staff to support daily missal, lunch and recessent percentage of behave ort staff during these traidents are provided with a ghout the day. Students worm calmer and quieter to	v transition points . These transitions ior issues on campus. nsitions, the school a safe and welcoming with behavior support					

	ion during this time will e bursts that occur during						
BUDGETED EXPE	NDITURES						
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20		
Amount	\$146,811 Support Staff	Compensation	Amount		Amount		
Source	LCFF		Source		Source		
Budget Reference	2201		Budget Reference		Budget Reference		
Action 5							
For Actions/Servi	ces not included as co	ntributing to meeting	the Increased o	Improved Services Req	uirement:		
	Students to be Served	☐ All ☐ Student	s with Disabilities	Specific Student Gr	oup(s)]		
	Location(s)	☐ All schools ☐	Specific Schools	·	☐ Specific C	Grade spans:	
			OR				
For Actions/Servi	ces included as contril	outing to meeting the	Increased or Im	proved Services Require	ment:		
	Students to be Served	□ English Learners	☐ Foster You	uth 🛛 Low Income			
		Scope of		EA-wide Schoolwident Group(s)	le OR	☐ Limited to Unduplicated	
	Location(s)	☐ All schools ☐	Specific Schools	·	☐ Specific C	Grade spans:	
ACTIONS/SERVIC	ES						
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20		
⊠ New ☐ Modif	ied 🗌 Unchanged		□ New □ M	odified	☐ New	☐ Modified ☐ Unchanged	
services for their ca	enos will be able to hire ampus. These include pa	trols for weekends to					

		uthorized people on campus a safe, such as graffiti.	nd issues							
BUDGETED EXPE	NDITU	JRES .								
2017-18				2018-19			2019-20			
Amount	\$7,00	00		Amount			Amount			
Source	LCFF	F-S+C		Source			Source			
Budget Reference	5823			Budget Reference			Budget Reference			
Goal 4	Goal 4 Rocketship students will become self-motivated, competent, and lifelong learners and will develop a deep love of learning.									
State and/or Local	<u>Prioriti</u>	es Addressed by this goal:	STATE	1 🗆 2 🖂 3	□4 [35 ⊠ 6 ⊠ 7	⊠ 8			
] 9 🔲 10						
			LOCAL							
Identified Need			Rocketship succeed on to ensure th	. We recognize that ace they graduate a nat our students a	at our stu from Roc re investe	idents must develo ketship. We see a ed in their educatio	p life-long acad need to increas n. We want our	ue education beyond their time at emic skills in elementary school to se our level of student engagement students to come to school every ension rate nearing 5% for the		
EXPECTED ANNU	AL ME	EASURABLE OUTCOMES								
Metrics/Indicator	S	Baseline		2017-18		2018-	19	2019-20		
Chronic Absenteeis	sm	12.6%	<10%							
ADA		95.3%	96%							

Student suspension Rate	4.9%		3%							
Action 1										
For Actions/Services no	ot included as co	ntributing to me	eting the I	ncreased or Ir	nprove	d Services R	equiremen	t:		
Stude	nts to be Served	□ AII □ S	Students wit	h Disabilities	☐ [Sp	ecific Student	t Group(s)]			
	Location(s)	☐ All schools	☐ Spe	☐ Specific Schools: ☐ Specific Grade spans:						
				OR						
For Actions/Services in	cluded as contrib	outing to meeting	g the Incre	ased or Impro	oved Se	ervices Requ	irement:			
Stude	nts to be Served	⊠ English Lear	ners [ers						
Scope of			<u>Services</u>	☐ LEA-wide ☐ Schoolwide ☐ Group(s)			OR Limited to Unduplicated Student			
	☐ Specific Schools:			_	cific Grade spa	ans:				
ACTIONS/SERVICES										
2017-18			2018-19	1			2019-20			
☐ New ☐ Modified 〔	☑ Unchanged		☐ New	Modified	Und	changed	☐ New	Modified	Unchanged	
Enrichment D-1. Enrichment is a critical component of a students' education at Rocketship. In addition to aligning with CCSS standards, time spent in the Enrichment Center provides students with fun and engaging activities that increase their focus and commitment during core instruction. The Enrichment Center Coordinators provide students with the opportunity to engage in physical education, art, and gardening. The Coordinators play a critical role in strengthening school culture. Importantly, enrichment also provides an opportunity for students to excel and show off talents that may not be immediately apparent in a general education setting.										
BUDGETED EXPENDITU	ducation setting. UDGETED EXPENDITURES									

2018-19

2017-18

2019-20

Amount	Enrichment Coordinat \$133,200	tors	Amount		Am	ount			
Source	LCFF-S+C		Source		Sou	urce			
Budget Reference	2201		Budget Reference			dget ferenc			
Action 2									
For Actions/Service	ces not included as co	ontributing to mee	eting the Incre	ased or Improved	d Services Requir	rement:			
<u>s</u>	Students to be Served	⊠ All ☐ Stu	udents with Dis	abilities 🔲 [Spe	ecific Student Grou	p(s)]		-	
	Location(s)	☐ All schools	☐ Specific S	Schools:		Specific G	rade spans:		
				OR					
For Actions/Services included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:									
<u>S</u>	Students to be Served		ers	ster Youth 🖂	Low Income				
			Scope of Servi	LEA-wide		vide OR	Limite	ed to Unduplicated	
	Location(s)	☐ All schools	☐ Specific S	Schools:		☐ Specific G	rade spans:		
ACTIONS/SERVICE	<u> </u>								
2017-18			2018	i-19		2019-20			
☐ New ☐ Modifi	ed 🛭 Unchanged			ew Modified	Unchanged	□ New	Modified	Unchanged	
students' learning a taken are science a integrate the learnin In addition, parents thereby increasing p our field trip experie 5 th graders goes to	vide an important opportant opportant increase engageme ind/or social studies relaiged into their thematic un often attend field trips varental engagement as ence is Fifth Grade Cam Groveland, CA for one ploration and camp fun	nt. Many of the fiel ated, enabling teach its back in the class with their students, s well. The cornerst p. Each year, Rockweek of hiking, scie	d trips ners to sroom. tone of ketship ence						

this is one of their f	first experiences leaving	home.						
BUDGETED EXPE	<u>INDITURES</u>							
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20		
Amount	Field Trips \$38,500		Amount			Amount		
Source	LCFF-S+C		Source			Source		
Budget Reference	5860					Budget Reference		
Action 3								
For Actions/Services not included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:								
:	Students to be Served	☐ All ☐ Students w	vith Disabilities	☐ [Spe	ecific Student Group	o(s)]		_
	Location(s) ☐ All schools ☐				Specific	Grade spans	:	
			OR					
For Actions/Servi	ices included as contri	buting to meeting the Inc	creased or Im	proved Se	rvices Requireme	ent:		
:	Students to be Served	□ English Learners	☐ Foster You	ıth 🛚	Low Income			
		Scope o		☐ LEA-wid Student Gro		de OR	Limite	ed to Unduplicated
	Location(s)	☐ All schools ☐ Sp	ecific Schools] Specific Gra	ide spans:	
ACTIONS/SERVIC	ES .							
2017-18	2017-18 2018-19 2019-20							
☐ New ☐ Modif	fied Unchanged		☐ New ☐] Modified	Unchanged	New	Modified	Unchanged
Supports (PBIS) si of PBIS is to create	n using Positive Behavion nce the school opened.	The fundamental purpose that are more consistent,						

implementing a so implement the "Kir through grade two (third through fifth students identify, develop appropriar Students at RLS h this is a trend we'ver are working on imparents and students and how it can in Next year, licensed	ave indicated that many over the seen increasing and elements to gain a strong under mpact students.	EL) curriculum. We lower grades (pre-k pach in upper grades be curricula is to help te feelings, as well as of them feel bullied and the past few years. We education for both restanding of what bullying from Seneca will provide				
Next year, licensed mental health clinicians from Seneca will provide supplemental direct and indirect services to support positive, prosocial behavior on campus. These services include social skills groups, professional development for teachers and school leaders, and consultative problem-solving for students experiencing behavioral and/or social-emotional challenges at school, including bullying.						
BUDGETED EXPE	NDITURES					
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20	
Amount	\$5,490 Instructional su \$14,000 Educational C		Amount		Amount	
Source	LCFF-S+C		Source		Source	
Budget Reference	4340		Budget Reference		Budget Reference	
Action 4						
For Actions/Serv	ices not included as co	ontributing to meeting the	Increased or In	nproved Services Require	ement:	
	Students to be Served	☐ All ☐ Students wi	ith Disabilities	☐ [Specific Student Group	(s)]	
	Location(s)	☐ All schools ☐ Spe	ecific Schools: R	LS Specific	Grade spans:	

For Actions/Servi	ces included as contri	buting to meeting the Inc	creased or Im	proved Se	rvices Requireme	ent:		
<u> </u>	Students to be Served		☐ Foster You	ıth 🔲 I	Low Income			
		Scope o		LEA-wide		ide OR Limited to Unduplicated		
	Location(s)		ecific Schools	<u>:</u>] Specific G	rade spans:	
ACTIONS/SERVIC	<u>ES</u>							
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20		
⊠ New ☐ Modif	ied 🗌 Unchanged		☐ New ☐	Modified	Unchanged	☐ New	Modified	Unchanged
campus for our stur requirements for Al work to align the af	enos hosts YMCA-run a dents. The YMCA curric SES and 21 st CCLC grad ter-school programming assroom to better serve							
BUDGETED EXPE	NDITURES							
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20		
Amount	\$120,000		Amount			Amount		
Source	ASES/21 st Century Lea	arning Centers Grant	Source			Source		
Budget Reference	5911		Budget Reference			Budget Reference	e	
Action 5								
		ontributing to meeting the		•	·			
3	Students to be Served	☐ All ☐ Students w	vith Disabilities	∐ [Spe	cific Student Group	o(s)]		_
	Location(s)	☐ All schools ☐ Sp	ecific Schools	:_RLS	Specific	Grade span	ns:	

For Actions/Servi	ices included as contri	buting to meeting the Inc	reased or Impro	ved Services Requireme	ent:		
	Students to be Served			□ Low Income			
	oludents to be derved		f Sonvices L	.EA-wide Schoolwident Group(s)	de OR Limited to Unduplicated		
	Location(s)		ecific Schools:		Specific Grade spans	:	
ACTIONS/SERVIC	CES CONTRACTOR						
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20		
⊠ New ☐ Modif	fied Unchanged		☐ New ☐ M	odified Unchanged	☐ New ☐ Modifi	ed 🗌 Unchanged	
estimated, RLS ha have funds to use their spending to o consult with stakeh	on Revise was less conserves a budget surplus. As a sat their discretion. Princine of the five LCAP goanolders prior to using the in next year's LCAP.	result, Principals will pals will need to align s, and will need to					
BUDGETED EXPE	<u>ENDITURES</u>						
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20		
Amount	\$30,000		Amount		Amount		
Source	LCFF-S+C		Source		Source		
Budget Reference	unknown		Budget Reference		Budget Reference		
Goal 5	Rocketship pa	rents are engaged in thei	r students' educ	ation			

State and/or Local Priorit	State and/or Local Priorities Addressed by this goal: Identified Need		al: STATE 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 COE 9 10 LOCAL							
Identified Need		ou	work of edu	ucating students. Ro	cketship Los Sueno	s has a numb	e. We view parents at critical partners in per of effective parent engagement which we will continue to build.			
EXPECTED ANNUAL ME	EASURABLE OUT	COMES								
Metrics/Indicators	Baseli	ne		2017-18	201	8-19	2019-20			
Percentage of parents attending an average of at least one school event per month	25%		30%							
Parents are satisfied overall with their school	73%		80%							
At least 1 event for month for parent engagement	Met		Met							
Action 1										
For Actions/Services n	ot included as co	ntributing to n	neeting the	Increased or Impr	oved Services Red	quirement:				
Stude	ents to be Served	☐ All ☐] Students w	vith Disabilities	Specific Student C	Group(s)]				
	Location(s)	All schools	s □ Sp	ecific Schools:		☐ Specific	c Grade spans:			
				OR						
For Actions/Services in	ncluded as contrib	outing to meet	ing the Inci	reased or Improve	d Services Require	ement:				
Stude	ents to be Served	⊠ English Le	arners	☐ Foster Youth	□ Low Income					
		Scope	of Services	□ LEA-wide □ Group(s)	Schoolwide	OR [Limited to Unduplicated Student			
	Location(s)	All schools	S Sp	ecific Schools:	c Grade spans:					

ACTIONS/SERVICES

2017-18			2018-19			2019-20				
☐ New ☐ Modifi	ed 🛚 Unchanged		☐ New ☐] Modified	Unchanged	☐ New	Modified	Unchanged		
Parent involvement E-1. Community Eduring the year to elevents include composter school events invests in parent approached by the parent volunteer Coparents will be encounted by the parent service of the parent service										
BUDGETED EXPE	NDITURES NDITURES									
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20				
Amount	Parent Appreciation and \$10,770	Materials	Amount			Amount				
Source	LCFF-S+C		Source			Source				
Budget Reference	(5822, 4510)		Budget Reference			Budget Referenc e				
Action 2	cos not included as cost	ributing to man	ting the learn	agged or Im	aproved Services D	oguirome:	.+•			
	ces not included as cont					•	ıt.			
<u>S</u>	Students to be Served] All ☐ Stu	udents with Dis	abilities	Specific Student	Group(s)]_				
	Location(s)	All schools	☐ Specific S	Schools:		☐ Spec	cific Grade spar	ns:		

For Actions/Services included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:										
	Students to be Served		☐ Foster Y	outh 🖂	Low Income					
		Scope o	f Services	LEA-wide		de OR	de OR Limited to Unduplicated			
	Location(s)	☐ All schools ☐ Sp	ecific Schoo	ls:		Specific Grade spans:				
ACTIONS/SERVIO	<u>CES</u>									
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20				
☐ New ⊠ Mod	ified Unchanged		☐ New	Modified	Unchanged	☐ New	Modified	Unchanged		
for parents to interforums for parents about school active active participant in this will start over which have typical more on school cultave a better under	s many opportunities throward with RLS staff. The same to provide feedback as wities. This enables parent in their child's education. The summer. The registrately just been a day to turn alture and parental engage erstanding of expectation wancy before the school y									
BUDGETED EXP	<u>ENDITURES</u>									
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20				
Amount	Parent Outreach – Sch \$9,727	ool Leader Comp	Amount			Amount				
Source	LCFF-S+C		Source			Source				
Budget Reference	1101		Budget Reference	.		Budget Reference				
Action 3										
For Actions/Serv	rices not included as co	Increased	or Improved	Services Requir	ement:					
	Students to be Served	☐ All ☐ Students w	ith Disabilitie	es 🗌 [Spe	cific Student Group	o(s)]		_		

	Location(s)	☐ All schools ☐	Specific Schoo	ols:_RLS	Specific	Grade spar	าร:				
			0	R							
For Actions/Servi	ices included as contri	buting to meeting the I	ncreased or	creased or Improved Services Requirement:							
<u> </u>	Students to be Served		⊠Foster Y	outh 🛛 L	_ow Income						
		e of Services	LEA-wide		ide OR Limited to Unduplicated						
	Location(s)	All schools	Specific Schoo	ols:] Specific G	rade spans:				
ACTIONS/SERVIC	ES ES										
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20					
☐ New ⊠ Modif	fied		☐ New	Modified	Unchanged	☐ New	Modified	Unchanged			
Office Manager E-3. Rocketship's Office Managers are the face of the school to students and families. Office Managers oversee much of the communication that goes directly to families and coordinate many parent engagement efforts, including parent volunteerism and community events. Office Managers are critical to our efforts to engage families in their children's learning and the school community. Office Managers will be a part of a truancy task force aimed at reducing chronic absenteeism and increase ADA next year. This task force will focus on creating parent-school partnerships to ensure students are in school every day while educating parents on the implications of students missing school.											
BUDGETED EXPE	NDITURES										
2017-18			2018-19			2019-20					
Amount	\$79,875 Office Manage	er	Amount			Amount					
Source	LCFF-S+C		Source			Source					
Budget Reference	2401		Budget Reference	Э		Budget Reference	e				

Demonstration of Increased or Improved Services for Unduplicated Pupils

LCAP Year	☑ 2017–18 ☐ 2018–19 ☐ 2019–20			
Estimated Supplemental and Concentration Grant Funds:		\$ 1,255,120	Percentage to Increase or Improve Services:	35.16 %

Describe how services provided for unduplicated pupils are increased or improved by at least the percentage identified above, either qualitatively or quantitatively, as compared to services provided for all students in the LCAP year.

Identify each action/service being funded and provided on a schoolwide or LEA-wide basis. Include the required descriptions supporting each schoolwide or LEA-wide use of funds (see instructions).

Personalized Learning - RLS's instructional model is built on the foundation of personalization. We believe that targeted small group instruction and 1:1 tutoring are the most effective ways to ensure that all students are moving towards proficiency. For our unduplicated population, and particularly our EL students, targeted small group instruction ensures that a student is able to receive specific language instruction and they are able to further develop their language proficiency through re-tells, explicit vocabulary lessons, and a small group focus on letters, word patterns, spelling, blends, sounds, etc. Our investment in personalized learning, through our Learning Labs, which include adaptive online learning programs, technology and leveled libraries, makes state-of-the-art instructional tools available to our students who need it most. In addition, during small group guided reading time, staff will provide an EL center, which will be focused on specific language activities (picture cards, writing, vocabulary development, etc.) that are targeted to specific categories of students based on level of progress.

PD/Coaching - All students benefit from highly trained and highly motivated teachers. RLS invests heavily in teacher professional development through extensive summer PD. Additionally, over 200 hours during the school year will be devoted to PD through Thursday minimum days. All students, including unduplicated students, benefit from this investment. Further, these professional development opportunities are geared towards content most relevant to our unduplicated population, including Spanish language immersion, teaching in a special education inclusion model, and advanced EL instruction. The costs for this additional PD time is covered by supplemental and concentration funds. Because of Rocketship's unique model, we invest in ensuring that our teachers are comfortable with the rotational model as well as effectively teaching a high percentage of unduplicated students. Principals and Assistant Principa

Assessments - The commitment to data-driven instruction at RLS, through continual assessment and teacher data training, ensures that our efforts in the Learning Lab and small group instruction are effective. By collecting and analyzing data, we are able to determine in which areas our students are excelling and in which areas they need additional help.

BOM - The BOM oversees the daily operations of the school and oversees key processes such as breakfast, lunch, arrival and dismissal. As such, the BOM interacts with all students and families at the school and therefore plays a critical role in setting and upholding the culture of the school. The BOM is also responsible for maintaining the safety and positive culture of all common spaces. All students, including unduplicated students, benefit from a school environment that is safe, welcoming, and efficiently run.

Support Staff - We know that our unduplicated population, and particularly our socio-economically disadvantaged students, can benefit from a high level of engagement with positive adult relationships throughout their school day. This investment in additional support staff will ensure that during critical transition points such as arrival, dismissal, lunch and recess, our unduplicated population is supported by adults who are ensuring they are provided with a safe and welcoming environment throughout the day.

ECCs - Enrichment is a critical component of our unduplicated students' education. In addition to aligning with CCSS standards, time spent in the Enrichment

Center provides students with fun and engaging activities that increase their focus and commitment during core instruction. The Enrichment Center Coordinators provide students with the opportunity to engage in physical education, art, and various other enrichment activities that our unduplicated students may not otherwise be able to access. The Coordinators play a critical role in strengthening school culture. RLS will bring in outside consultants, such as gardening, to teach students skills beyond the areas of expertise of the Enrichment Center Coordinators.

Field Trips - Field trips provide an important opportunity to both deepen students' learning and increase engagement. Many of the field trips taken will be science and/or social studies related, enabling teachers to integrate the learning into their thematic units back in the classroom. In addition, parents often attend field trips with their students, thereby increasing parental engagement as well. Importantly, field trips provide real-life experiences that our unduplicated students may not otherwise experience, enriching their education and creating engaging learning opportunities. Our fifth grade students will attend a week-long camp near Yosemite National Park, exposing many of our unduplicated students to nature for the first time.

SEL - Our EL, Foster Youth and income students have unique social emotional needs, in addition to academic needs. We prioritize social-emotional learning for these students to understand how to identify feelings, how their feelings impact other people and how to act accordingly.

Parent Appreciation/Outreach - Many of our parents, particularly EL parents, have never volunteered in a school before and may initially feel uncomfortable doing so. We know that students do best in school when their parents are engaged, so we invest resources to make sure our parents feel comfortable on campus and have ample resources to volunteer and be involved in school in way that is meaningful to them.

Office Manager - Our Office Manager is often the first point of contact at the school for many families and helps administer important paperwork such as the Home Language Survey, FRL applications and provides resources to Immigrant families. Each of these helps us to identify our unduplicated students and provide services accordingly.

Teacher Room - Our teachers are encouraged to make their classrooms unique and personalized to them and their classes. As such, we provide a teacher room stipend to each teacher to procure such materials and supplies. This helps our unduplicated students, in particular, because it helps them feel welcome and safe in the classroom.

Furniture - Students need a safe, clean, and welcoming school environment that functions well so that staff's energy is put towards providing an excellent education and not towards mitigating challenges with the facility. By making strategic investments to replace aging furniture, invest in upgrades, our unduplicated students will enjoy a school that runs smoothly and efficiently and preserves time for instruction.

Printing & Reproduction - Our printing and reproduction budget allows for teachers to make copies of materials so that all students have access to them. This includes copying passages of books so that each student has a copy and can mark up her or his own version. This particularly helps our EL students so that they can better grasp the complexities of English

Security - Since we purposefully locate our school in areas of high-need, the immediate area is not always the safest. While we hope that the presence of a Rocketship school eventually helps the neighborhood become better, this is not always the case. As such, our school has budget to hire security services, such as weekend and evening patrols to keep the campus safe.

Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template Instructions

Addendum

The Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and Annual Update Template documents and communicates local educational agencies' (LEAs) actions and expenditures to support student outcomes and overall performance. The LCAP is a three-year plan, which is reviewed and updated annually, as required. Charter schools may complete the LCAP to align with the term of the charter school's budget, typically one year, which is submitted to the school's authorizer. The LCAP and Annual Update Template must be completed by all LEAs each year.

For school districts, the LCAP must describe, for the school district and each school within the district, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all students and each student group identified by the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) (ethnic, socioeconomically disadvantaged, English learners, foster youth, pupils with disabilities, and homeless youth), for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities.

For county offices of education, the LCAP must describe, for each county office of education-operated school and program, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all students and each LCFF student group funded through the county office of education (students attending juvenile court schools, on probation or parole, or expelled under certain conditions) for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities. School districts and county offices of education may additionally coordinate and describe in their LCAPs services funded by a school district that are provided to students attending county-operated schools and programs, including special education programs.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in Education Code (EC) sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

Charter schools must describe goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all students and each LCFF subgroup of students including students with disabilities and homeless youth, for each of the state priorities that apply for the grade levels served or the nature of the program operated by the charter school, and any locally identified priorities. For charter schools, the inclusion and description of goals for state priorities in the LCAP may be modified to meet the grade levels served and the nature of the programs provided, including modifications to reflect only the statutory requirements explicitly applicable to charter schools in the EC. Changes in LCAP goals and actions/services for charter schools that result from the annual update process do not necessarily constitute a material revision to the school's charter petition.

For questions related to specific sections of the template, please see instructions below:

Instructions: Linked Table of Contents

Plan Summary
Annual Update
Stakeholder Engagement
Goals, Actions, and Services
Planned Actions/Services

Demonstration of Increased or Improved Services for Unduplicated Students

For additional questions or technical assistance related to completion of the LCAP template, please contact the local county office of education, or the CDE's Local Agency Systems Support Office at: 916-319-0809 or by email at: lcff@cde.ca.gov.

Plan Summary

The LCAP is intended to reflect an LEA's annual goals, actions, services and expenditures within a fixed three-year planning cycle. LEAs must include a plan summary for the LCAP each year.

When developing the LCAP, mark the appropriate LCAP year, and address the prompts provided in these sections. When developing the LCAP in year 2 or year 3, mark the appropriate LCAP year and replace the previous summary information with information relevant to the current year LCAP.

In this section, briefly address the prompts provided. These prompts are not limits. LEAs may include information regarding local program(s), community demographics, and the overall vision of the LEA. LEAs may also attach documents (e.g., the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics data reports) if desired and/or include charts illustrating goals, planned outcomes, actual outcomes, or related planned and actual expenditures.

An LEA may use an alternative format for the plan summary as long as it includes the information specified in each prompt and the budget summary table.

The reference to LCFF Evaluation Rubrics means the evaluation rubrics adopted by the State Board of Education under *EC* Section 52064.5.

Budget Summary

The LEA must complete the LCAP Budget Summary table as follows:

- Total LEA General Fund Budget Expenditures for the LCAP Year: This amount is the LEA's total budgeted General Fund expenditures for the LCAP year. The LCAP year means the fiscal year for which an LCAP is adopted or updated by July 1. The General Fund is the main operating fund of the LEA and accounts for all activities not accounted for in another fund. All activities are reported in the General Fund unless there is a compelling reason to account for an activity in another fund. For further information please refer to the California School Accounting Manual (http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/ac/sa/). (Note: For some charter schools that follow governmental fund accounting, this amount is the total budgeted expenditures in the Charter Schools Special Revenue Fund. For charter schools that follow the not-for-profit accounting model, this amount is total budgeted expenses, such as those budgeted in the Charter Schools Enterprise Fund.)
- Total Funds Budgeted for Planned Actions/Services to Meet the Goals in the LCAP for the LCAP Year:
 This amount is the total of the budgeted expenditures associated with the actions/services included for the LCAP year from all sources of funds, as reflected in the LCAP. To the extent actions/services and/or expenditures are listed in the LCAP under more than one goal, the expenditures should be counted only once.
- Description of any use(s) of the General Fund Budget Expenditures specified above for the LCAP year
 not included in the LCAP: Briefly describe expenditures included in total General Fund Expenditures that
 are not included in the total funds budgeted for planned actions/services for the LCAP year. (Note: The total
 funds budgeted for planned actions/services may include funds other than general fund expenditures.)

Total Projected LCFF Revenues for LCAP Year: This amount is the total amount of LCFF funding the LEA
estimates it will receive pursuant to EC sections 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools) and 2574
(for county offices of education), as implemented by EC sections 42238.03 and 2575 for the LCAP year
respectively.

Annual Update

The planned goals, expected outcomes, actions/services, and budgeted expenditures must be copied verbatim from the previous year's* approved LCAP. Minor typographical errors may be corrected.

* For example, for LCAP year 2017/18 of the 2017/18 – 2019/20 LCAP, review the goals in the 2016/17 LCAP. Moving forward, review the goals from the most recent LCAP year. For example, LCAP year 2020/21 will review goals from the 2019/20 LCAP year, which is the last year of the 2017/18 – 2019/20 LCAP.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

For each goal in the prior year, identify and review the actual measurable outcomes as compared to the expected annual measurable outcomes identified in the prior year for the goal.

Actions/Services

Identify the planned Actions/Services and the budgeted expenditures to implement these actions toward achieving the described goal. Identify the **actual** actions/services implemented to meet the described goal and the estimated actual annual expenditures to implement the actions/services. As applicable, identify any changes to the students or student groups served, or to the planned location of the actions/services provided.

Analysis

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, analyze whether the planned actions/services were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal. Include a
 discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process.
- Describe the overall effectiveness of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the LEA.
- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures. Minor variances in expenditures or a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.
- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions and services to achieve
 this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as
 applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the LCAP.

Stakeholder Engagement

Meaningful engagement of parents, students, and other stakeholders, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. *EC* identifies the minimum consultation requirements for school districts and county offices of education as consulting with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units of the school district, parents, and pupils in developing the LCAP. *EC* requires charter schools to consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and pupils in developing the LCAP. In addition, *EC* Section 48985 specifies the requirements for the translation of notices, reports, statements, or records sent to a parent or guardian.

The LCAP should be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., school site councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between school-site and district-level goals and actions. An LEA may incorporate or reference actions described in other plans that are being undertaken to meet specific goals.

Instructions: The stakeholder engagement process is an ongoing, annual process. The requirements for this section are the same for each year of a three-year LCAP. When developing the LCAP, mark the appropriate LCAP year, and describe the stakeholder engagement process used to develop the LCAP and Annual Update. When developing the LCAP in year 2 or year 3, mark the appropriate LCAP year and replace the previous stakeholder narrative(s) and describe the stakeholder engagement process used to develop the current year LCAP and Annual Update.

School districts and county offices of education: Describe the process used to consult with the Parent Advisory Committee, the English Learner Parent Advisory Committee, parents, students, school personnel, the LEA's local bargaining units, and the community to inform the development of the LCAP and the annual review and analysis for the indicated LCAP year.

Charter schools: Describe the process used to consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students to inform the development of the LCAP and the annual review and analysis for the indicated LCAP year.

Describe how the consultation process impacted the development of the LCAP and annual update for the indicated LCAP year, including the goals, actions, services, and expenditures.

Goals, Actions, and Services

LEAs must include a description of the annual goals, for all students and each LCFF identified group of students, to be achieved for each state priority as applicable to type of LEA. An LEA may also include additional local priorities. This section shall also include a description of the specific planned actions an LEA will take to meet the identified goals, and a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific actions.

School districts and county offices of education: The LCAP is a three-year plan, which is reviewed and updated annually, as required.

Charter schools: The number of years addressed in the LCAP may align with the term of the charter schools budget, typically one year, which is submitted to the school's authorizer. If year 2 and/or year 3 is not applicable, charter schools must specify as such.

New, Modified, Unchanged

As part of the LCAP development process, which includes the annual update and stakeholder engagement, indicate if the goal, identified need, related state and/or local priorities, and/or expected annual measurable outcomes for the current LCAP year or future LCAP years are modified or unchanged from the previous year's LCAP; or, specify if the goal is new.

Goal

State the goal. LEAs may number the goals using the "Goal #" box for ease of reference. A goal is a broad statement that describes the desired result to which all actions/services are directed. A goal answers the question: What is the LEA seeking to achieve?

Related State and/or Local Priorities

Identify the state and/or local priorities addressed by the goal by placing a check mark next to the applicable priority or priorities. The LCAP must include goals that address each of the state priorities, as applicable to the type of LEA, and any additional local priorities; however, one goal may address multiple priorities. (<u>Link to State Priorities</u>)

Identified Need

Describe the needs that led to establishing the goal. The identified needs may be based on quantitative or qualitative information, including, but not limited to, results of the annual update process or performance data from the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable.

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) or indicator(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes. LEAs may identify metrics for specific student groups. Include in the baseline column the most recent data associated with this metric or indicator available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. The most recent data associated with a metric or indicator includes data as reported in the annual update of the LCAP year immediately preceding the three-year plan, as applicable. The baseline data shall remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP. In the subsequent year columns, identify the progress to be made in each year of the three-year cycle of the LCAP. Consider how expected outcomes in any given year are related to the expected outcomes for subsequent years.

The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative, but at minimum an LEA must use the applicable required metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year as applicable to the type of LEA. For the student engagement priority metrics, as applicable, LEAs must calculate the rates as described in the LCAP Template Appendix, sections (a) through (d).

Planned Actions/Services

For each action/service, the LEA must complete either the section "For Actions/Services not contributing to meeting Increased or Improved Services Requirement" or the section "For Actions/Services Contributing to Meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement." The LEA shall not complete both sections for a single action.

For Actions/Services Not Contributing to Meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement

Students to be Served

The "Students to be Served" box is to be completed for all actions/services except for those which are included by the LEA as contributing to meeting the requirement to increase or improve services for unduplicated students. Indicate in this box which students will benefit from the actions/services by checking "All", "Students with Disabilities", or "Specific Student Group(s)". If "Specific Student Group(s)" is checked, identify the specific student group(s) as appropriate.

Location(s)

Identify the location where the action/services will be provided. If the services are provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate "All Schools". If the services are provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must mark "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans". Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5), as appropriate.

Charter schools operating more than one site, authorized within the same charter petition, may choose to distinguish between sites by selecting "Specific Schools" and identify the site(s) where the actions/services will be provided. For charter schools operating only one site, "All Schools" and "Specific Schools" may be synonymous and, therefore, either would be appropriate. Charter schools may use either term provided they are used in a consistent manner through the LCAP.

For Actions/Services Contributing to Meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:

Students to be Served

For any action/service contributing to the LEA's overall demonstration that it has increased or improved services for unduplicated students above what is provided to all students (see <u>Demonstration of Increased or Improved Services for Unduplicated Students</u> section, below), the LEA must identify the unduplicated student group(s) being served.

Scope of Service

For each action/service contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement, identify scope of service by indicating "LEA-wide", "Schoolwide", or "Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)". The LEA must select one of the following three options:

- If the action/service is being funded and provided to upgrade the entire educational program of the LEA, place a check mark next to "LEA-wide."
- If the action/service is being funded and provided to upgrade the entire educational program of a particular school or schools, place a check mark next to "schoolwide".
- If the action/service being funded and provided is limited to the unduplicated students identified in "Students to be Served", place a check mark next to "Limited to Student Groups".

For charter schools and single-school school districts, "LEA-wide" and "Schoolwide" may be synonymous and, therefore, either would be appropriate. For charter schools operating multiple schools (determined by a unique CDS code) under a single charter, use "LEA-wide" to refer to all schools under the charter and use "Schoolwide" to refer to a single school authorized within the same charter petition. Charter schools operating a single school may use "LEA-wide" or "Schoolwide" provided these terms are used in a consistent manner through the LCAP.

Location(s)

Identify the location where the action/services will be provided. If the services are provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate "All Schools". If the services are provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must mark "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans". Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5), as appropriate.

Charter schools operating more than one site, authorized within the same charter petition, may choose to distinguish between sites by selecting "Specific Schools" and identify the site(s) where the actions/services will be provided. For charter schools operating only one site, "All Schools" and "Specific Schools" may be synonymous and, therefore, either would be appropriate. Charter schools may use either term provided they are used in a consistent manner through the LCAP.

Actions/Services

For each LCAP year, identify the actions to be performed and services provided to meet the described goal. Actions and services that are implemented to achieve the identified goal may be grouped together. LEAs may number the action/service using the "Action #" box for ease of reference.

New/Modified/Unchanged:

- Check "New" if the action/service is being added in any of the three years of the LCAP to meet the
 articulated goal.
- Check "Modified" if the action/service was included to meet an articulated goal and has been changed or modified in any way from the prior year description.
- Check "Unchanged" if the action/service was included to meet an articulated goal and has not been changed or modified in any way from the prior year description.
 - o If a planned action/service is anticipated to remain unchanged for the duration of the plan, an LEA may check "Unchanged" and leave the subsequent year columns blank rather than having to copy/paste the action/service into the subsequent year columns. Budgeted expenditures may be treated in the same way as applicable.

Note: The goal from the prior year may or may not be included in the current three-year LCAP. For example, when developing year 1 of the LCAP, the goals articulated in year 3 of the preceding three-year LCAP will be from the prior year.

Charter schools may complete the LCAP to align with the term of the charter school's budget that is submitted to the school's authorizer. Accordingly, a charter school submitting a one-year budget to its authorizer may choose not to complete the year 2 and year 3 portions of the "Goals, Actions, and Services" section of the template. If year 2 and/or year 3 is not applicable, charter schools must specify as such.

Budgeted Expenditures

For each action/service, list and describe budgeted expenditures for each school year to implement these actions, including where those expenditures can be found in the LEA's budget. The LEA must reference all fund sources for each proposed expenditure. Expenditures must be classified using the California School Accounting Manual as required by *EC* sections 52061, 52067, and 47606.5.

Expenditures that are included more than once in an LCAP must be indicated as a duplicated expenditure and include a reference to the goal and action/service where the expenditure first appears in the LCAP.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, and chooses to complete a single LCAP, the LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted expenditures are aligned.

Demonstration of Increased or Improved Services for Unduplicated Students

This section must be completed for each LCAP year. When developing the LCAP in year 2 or year 3, copy the "Demonstration of Increased or Improved Services for Unduplicated Students" table and mark the appropriate LCAP year. Using the copy of the table, complete the table as required for the current year LCAP. Retain all prior year tables for this section for each of the three years within the LCAP.

Estimated Supplemental and Concentration Grant Funds

Identify the amount of funds in the LCAP year calculated on the basis of the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner students as determined pursuant to *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 (5 *CCR*) Section 15496(a)(5).

Percentage to Increase or Improve Services

Identify the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

Consistent with the requirements of 5 *CCR* Section 15496, describe how services provided for unduplicated pupils are increased or improved by at least the percentage calculated as compared to services provided for all students in the LCAP year. To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. This description must address how the action(s)/service(s) limited for one or more unduplicated student group(s), and any schoolwide or districtwide action(s)/service(s) supported by the appropriate description, taken together, result in the required proportional increase or improvement in services for unduplicated pupils.

If the overall increased or improved services include any actions/services being funded and provided on a schoolwide or districtwide basis, identify each action/service and include the required descriptions supporting each action/service as follows.

For those services being provided on an LEA-wide basis:

- For school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of 55% or more, and for charter schools and county offices of education: Describe how these services are **principally directed to** and **effective in** meeting its goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities.
- For school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55%: Describe how these services are
 principally directed to and effective in meeting its goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local
 priorities. Also describe how the services are the most effective use of the funds to meet these goals for its
 unduplicated pupils. Provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting
 research, experience or educational theory.

For school districts only, identify in the description those services being funded and provided on a schoolwide basis, and include the required description supporting the use of the funds on a schoolwide basis:

- For schools with 40% or more enrollment of unduplicated pupils: Describe how these services are **principally directed to** and **effective in** meeting its goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities.
- For school districts expending funds on a schoolwide basis at a school with less than 40% enrollment of unduplicated pupils: Describe how these services are **principally directed to** and how the services are **the most effective use of the funds to** meet its goals for English learners, low income students and foster youth, in the state and any local priorities.

State Priorities

Priority 1: Basic Services addresses the degree to which:

- A. Teachers in the LEA are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching:
- B. Pupils in the school district have sufficient access to the standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- C. School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Priority 2: Implementation of State Standards addresses:

- A. The implementation of state board adopted academic content and performance standards for all students, which are:
 - a. English Language Arts Common Core State Standards (CCSS) for English Language Arts
 - b. Mathematics CCSS for Mathematics
 - c. English Language Development (ELD)
 - d. Career Technical Education
 - e. Health Education Content Standards
 - f. History-Social Science
 - g. Model School Library Standards
 - h. Physical Education Model Content Standards
 - i. Next Generation Science Standards
 - j. Visual and Performing Arts
 - k. World Language; and
- B. How the programs and services will enable English learners to access the CCSS and the ELD standards for purposes of gaining academic content knowledge and English language proficiency.

Priority 3: Parental Involvement addresses:

- A. The efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each individual school site:
- B. How the school district will promote parental participation in programs for unduplicated pupils; and
- C. How the school district will promote parental participation in programs for individuals with exceptional needs.

Priority 4: Pupil Achievement as measured by all of the following, as applicable:

- A. Statewide assessments:
- B. The Academic Performance Index:
- C. The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy University of California (UC) or California State University (CSU) entrance requirements, or programs of study that align with state board approved career technical educational standards and framework;
- D. The percentage of English learner pupils who make progress toward English proficiency as measured by the California English Language Development Test (CELDT);
- E. The English learner reclassification rate;
- F. The percentage of pupils who have passed an advanced placement examination with a score of 3 or higher; and
- G. The percentage of pupils who participate in, and demonstrate college preparedness pursuant to, the Early Assessment Program, or any subsequent assessment of college preparedness.

Priority 5: Pupil Engagement as measured by all of the following, as applicable:

- A. School attendance rates;
- B. Chronic absenteeism rates:
- C. Middle school dropout rates;
- D. High school dropout rates; and
- E. High school graduation rates:

Priority 6: School Climate as measured by all of the following, as applicable:

- A. Pupil suspension rates;
- B. Pupil expulsion rates; and
- C. Other local measures, including surveys of pupils, parents, and teachers on the sense of safety and school connectedness.

Priority 7: Course Access addresses the extent to which pupils have access to and are enrolled in:

- A. S broad course of study including courses described under EC sections 51210 and 51220(a)-(i), as applicable;
- B. Programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated pupils; and
- C. Programs and services developed and provided to individuals with exceptional needs.

Priority 8: Pupil Outcomes addresses pupil outcomes, if available, for courses described under *EC* sections 51210 and 51220(a)-(i), as applicable.

Priority 9: Coordination of Instruction of Expelled Pupils (COE Only) addresses how the county superintendent of schools will coordinate instruction of expelled pupils.

Priority 10. Coordination of Services for Foster Youth (COE Only) addresses how the county superintendent of schools will coordinate services for foster children, including:

- A. Working with the county child welfare agency to minimize changes in school placement
- B. Providing education-related information to the county child welfare agency to assist in the delivery of services to foster children, including educational status and progress information that is required to be included in court reports;
- C. Responding to requests from the juvenile court for information and working with the juvenile court to ensure the delivery and coordination of necessary educational services; and
- D. Establishing a mechanism for the efficient expeditious transfer of health and education records and the health and education passport.

Local Priorities address:

- A. Local priority goals; and
- B. Methods for measuring progress toward local goals.

APPENDIX A: PRIORITIES 5 AND 6 RATE CALCULATION INSTRUCTIONS

For the purposes of completing the LCAP in reference to the state priorities under *EC* sections 52060 and 52066, as applicable to type of LEA, the following shall apply:

- (a) "Chronic absenteeism rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30) who are chronically absent where "chronic absentee" means a pupil who is absent 10 percent or more of the schooldays in the school year when the total number of days a pupil is absent is divided by the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the regular day schools of the district, exclusive of Saturdays and Sundays.
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (b) "Middle School dropout rate" shall be calculated as set forth in 5 CCR Section 1039.1.
- (c) "High school dropout rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of cohort members who dropout by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
 - (2) The total number of cohort members.
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (d) "High school graduation rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of cohort members who earned a regular high school diploma [or earned an adult education high school diploma or passed the California High School Proficiency Exam] by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
 - (2) The total number of cohort members.
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (e) "Suspension rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was suspended during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (f) "Expulsion rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was expelled during the academic year (July 1 June 30).

- (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
- (3) Divide (1) by (2).

NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, *Education Code*. Reference: Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.6, 47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060, 52061, 52062, 52063, 52064, 52066, 52067, 52068, 52069, 52070, 52070.5, and 64001,; 20 U.S.C. Sections 6312 and 6314.

APPENDIX B: GUIDING QUESTIONS

Guiding Questions: Annual Review and Analysis

- 1) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all pupils and did the provisions of those services result in the desired outcomes?
- 2) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to EC Section 52052, including, but not limited to, English learners, low-income pupils, and foster youth; and did the provision of those actions/services result in the desired outcomes?
- 3) How have the actions/services addressed the identified needs and goals of specific school sites and were these actions/services effective in achieving the desired outcomes?
- 4) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was examined to review progress toward goals in the annual update?
- 5) What progress has been achieved toward the goal and expected measurable outcome(s)? How effective were the actions and services in making progress toward the goal? What changes to goals, actions, services, and expenditures are being made in the LCAP as a result of the review of progress and assessment of the effectiveness of the actions and services?
- 6) What differences are there between budgeted expenditures and estimated actual annual expenditures? What were the reasons for any differences?

Guiding Questions: Stakeholder Engagement

- 1) How have applicable stakeholders (e.g., parents and pupils, including parents of unduplicated pupils and unduplicated pupils identified in EC Section 42238.01; community members; local bargaining units; LEA personnel; county child welfare agencies; county office of education foster youth services programs, court-appointed special advocates, and other foster youth stakeholders; community organizations representing English learners; and others as appropriate) been engaged and involved in developing, reviewing, and supporting implementation of the LCAP?
- 2) How have stakeholders been included in the LEA's process in a timely manner to allow for engagement in the development of the LCAP?
- 3) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was made available to stakeholders related to the state priorities and used by the LEA to inform the LCAP goal setting process? How was the information made available?
- 4) What changes, if any, were made in the LCAP prior to adoption as a result of written comments or other feedback received by the LEA through any of the LEA's engagement processes?
- 5) What specific actions were taken to meet statutory requirements for stakeholder engagement pursuant to *EC* sections 52062, 52068, or 47606.5, as applicable, including engagement with representatives of parents and guardians of pupils identified in *EC* Section 42238.01?
- 6) What specific actions were taken to consult with pupils to meet the requirements 5 CCR Section 15495(a)?
- 7) How has stakeholder involvement been continued and supported? How has the involvement of these stakeholders supported improved outcomes for pupils, including unduplicated pupils, related to the state priorities?

Guiding Questions: Goals, Actions, and Services

- 1) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Conditions of Learning": Basic Services (Priority 1), the Implementation of State Standards (Priority 2), and Course Access (Priority 7)?
- 2) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Pupil Outcomes": Pupil Achievement (Priority 4), Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8), Coordination of Instruction of Expelled Pupils (Priority 9 COE Only), and Coordination of Services for Foster Youth (Priority 10 COE Only)?
- 3) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to parent and pupil "Engagement": Parental Involvement (Priority 3), Pupil Engagement (Priority 5), and School Climate (Priority 6)?
- 4) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address any locally-identified priorities?
- 5) How have the unique needs of individual school sites been evaluated to inform the development of meaningful district and/or individual school site goals (e.g., input from site level advisory groups, staff, parents, community, pupils; review of school level plans; in-depth school level data analysis, etc.)?
- 6) What are the unique goals for unduplicated pupils as defined in *EC* Section 42238.01 and groups as defined in *EC* Section 52052 that are different from the LEA's goals for all pupils?
- 7) What are the specific expected measurable outcomes associated with each of the goals annually and over the term of the LCAP?
- 8) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was considered/reviewed to develop goals to address each state or local priority?
- 9) What information was considered/reviewed for individual school sites?
- 10) What information was considered/reviewed for subgroups identified in EC Section 52052?
- 11) What actions/services will be provided to all pupils, to subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to *EC* Section 52052, to specific school sites, to English learners, to low-income pupils, and/or to foster youth to achieve goals identified in the LCAP?
- 12) How do these actions/services link to identified goals and expected measurable outcomes?
- 13) What expenditures support changes to actions/services as a result of the goal identified? Where can these expenditures be found in the LEA's budget?

Prepared by the California Department of Education, October 2016